Incisional Hernias

I. Related Risk Factors
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Abstract
Incisional hernias represent one of the most frequent complications of abdominal surgery. The incidence is probably underestimated. The pathogenesis is complex and not fully understood, implying patient-related factors (i.e., collagen biochemistry, obesity, age) as well as technical factors, including, among others, wound infection, suture material, and types of incisions and closures. In this paper, the first of two, the authors review the literature emphasizing the current knowledge concerning the pathogenesis of incisional hernias. The second article is focused on the treatment.
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Introduction

Incisional hernias (IH) are one of the most common complications of abdominal surgery, with an overall estimated incidence ranging from 2 to 11% after abdominal operations [1–8]. Among these, 80–95% develop within 6 months [9] to 3 years after initial surgery [4, 10, 11]. However, the true incidence is probably underestimated. Eight to 29% of the IH are asymptomatic and, therefore, remain unaccounted for, if the patient is not examined physically [12–14]. Wound infection, obesity, and suture closure technique are thought to be the most important risk factors for the development of IH [15–17]. The financial cost of the repair of an IH is approximately USD 6,000, without considering the loss of productivity [18]. Hence, one can imagine the important economic impact of reducing the incidence of IH in this era of retraction of resources.

The purpose of this article, the first of two, is to review the current knowledge of factors predisposing to IH and to update the modalities of their prevention. The second article will deal with the therapeutic options for the management of IH.

Patient-Related Factors

Mudge and Hughes [4], among others [19], showed that more than 50% of the IH occurred during the 1st year postoperatively. Almost 80% of the IH occurred within 3 years in the same series [4, 19]. Another study [20] reported that 52% of the IH appeared within 6 months postoperatively, 68% within 1 year postoperatively, and 79% within 2 years postoperatively. It seems more and more plausible that a malunion occurs between the edges...
Table 1. Patient-related risk factors for IH

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Major factors</th>
<th>Minor factors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chronic lung disease</td>
<td>Age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity</td>
<td>Male gender</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steroids</td>
<td>Postoperative ventilation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type II diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>Renal failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malnutrition</td>
<td>Connective tissue disorders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jaundice</td>
<td>Malignancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiotherapy</td>
<td>Transfusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemotherapy</td>
<td>Anemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral anticoagulants</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

of the incised aponeurosis soon after operation and that
the weak fibrous tissue forming that malunion insidiously
stretches, until the IH becomes clinically detectable [13].
Hence, as many as 94% of the IH may occur within 30
days after surgery [13].

Recurrent IH, however, do appear earlier. In one study
[21], 82% of the recurrences became manifest within the
1st year. Langer and Christiansen [6], among others [12],
found that most recurrences appeared within the first 3
years and that most of them recurred within the 1st year.
The most common risk factor of the recurred IH seems to
be the size of the primary IH [12]. Other factors incrimi-
nated are obesity, diabetes mellitus, lower midline inci-
sion, and wound infection [12].

Patient-related factors that increase the risk of devel-
oping IH include mainly obesity, chronic lung disease,
type II diabetes mellitus, age, malnutrition, renal failure,
malignancy, and steroid treatment (table 1) [22–34].

Blood transfusion may predispose to wound failure
and IH [35]. This parameter was seldom studied in the
literature. Malignant disease is frequently associated with
an increased incidence of IH. To our knowledge, this dog-
ma is more theoretical than practical, since many investi-
gators showed no statistically significant result [13]. How-
ever, cytotoxic agents, malnutrition, and radiotherapy are
thought to be important contributing factors to wound
disruption or IH [12, 24, 36].

Oral anticoagulants, by elevating the incidence of post-
operative hematoma and wound infection, seem to be a
risk factor for IH [25, 37].

Jaundice is considered by many to be a risk factor for
dehiscence and IH [38]. Experimental evidence suggests
that obstructive jaundice decreases the strength of ab-
dominal wound healing and delays fibroplasia and angi-
genesis [39].

Wound-Related Factors

Biochemical Pathogenesis

Despite numerous predisposing factors, including ana-
tomical features (persistence of the peritoneal-vaginal
conduit, high insertion point of the transverse arch) and
those associated with other diseases (obesity, chronic
obstructive pulmonary disease, constipation), the un-
derlying cause of the development of hernias, either pri-
mary or incisional, seems to be of a biologic nature.
Research aimed at evaluating the role played by biological
factors has centered on possible alterations in connective
tissue metabolism. An abnormal collagen metabolism has
been ascribed an important role in the genesis of IH and
the high recurrence rates after surgical hernia repair [40].
This idea is also supported by the fact that diseases such as
Marfan and Ehlers-Danlos syndromes, cutis laxa, osteo-
genesis imperfecta, and congenital hip dislocation have
been associated with hernial processes. Experimentally
studied tissue specimens from such patients with hernias have
included the rectus sheath, cremaster, hernial sac, and even
skin tissue [41]. The expression patterns of certain types of
collagen [42] and certain enzyme dysfunctions [43] have also
been the subject of several studies. Metalloproteinases (MMP)
represent a group of enzymes which degrade and contribute
to the turnover of the extracellular matrix, acting on certain
types of collagen and elastin. The ratio collagen I/III is
decreased in patients with hernias, either indirectly or directly [40].
Furthermore, in these patients the expression of MMP-1
and MMP-13 is decreased. The decreased ratio collagen
I/III is due to a relative increase of collagen type III which
is known to be characterized by thin fibril diameters and
lowered mechanical strength. The altered collagen ratio
might be the result of the decreased activity of MMP-1,
whereas the absent MMP-13 expression does not seem to
modify the scar formation according to some investiga-
tors [40].

A balance between extracellular matrix synthesis and
degradation is important for tissue integrity, because
remodeling occurs relentlessly. Structural changes or de-
defects in molecules may alter the tissue architecture, result-
ing in an impairment of the proper assembly of the com-
ponents and modifying the mechanical properties of the
tissue. The pathological hernia process seems then to be
related to MMP secretion. For example, fibroblasts from
the abdominal wall of young patients with direct hernias
show increased active MMP-2 expression [44]. The
MMP-2 expression is enhanced in processes such as geni-
tourinary prolapse [45] and aortic aneurysm [46]. Fur-
ther, it has been reported that patients with this latter pathology have an increased incidence of hernias [47, 48]. This enzyme may be intimately involved in the extracellular matrix degradative process. The persistence of this alteration in the fibroblast cultures appears to indicate a genetic defect or perhaps later transformation as the underlying cause of this pathology, ruling out environmental factors as the main cause.

Peritoneal Closure

The prevention of adherence of small bowel to the abdominal wall is a major argument for proponents of peritoneal closure [18]. However, peritoneal closure causes more adhesions in animals. Moreover, in humans, the incidence of IH has not been reduced by peritoneal closure [49]. Since the holding strengths of sutures placed in the posterior rectus sheath – intimately attached to the peritoneum – alone or in the anterior rectus sheath alone are identical [50], the holding strength of the closure would be reduced by 50%, if the peritoneum is not closed [18]. However, only 6.1% of the patients have an IH after breast reconstruction with a rectus abdominis myocutaneous flap with the peritoneum as the only barrier to herniation in the lower abdomen [18].

Type of Incision

The choice of an incision in abdominal surgery depends on the surgeon’s preference, but is also determined by the adequacy of exposure and access provided. It should take into account the anticipated pathology and the contemplated operative procedure, or, on the other hand, be sufficiently versatile in the emergency situation, in order to meet the demands. Patient obesity and previous incisions may also intervene in the decision.

In traditional (open) surgery, the midline incision is the simplest, provides adequate exposure to practically all four quadrants, is rapid to open and to close, and is usually bloodless. No muscle fibers need to be divided, and no nerves are injured. The midline incision is the most widely used abdominal incision [51]. However, IH are a major problem with the midline incision. Dehiscence has been rare, if the sutures are placed widely on each side of the incision [52]. Some surgeons place sutures in the linea alba itself rather than beyond the fusion line between the two rectus sheaths in order to avoid muscle tearing. Tera and Aberg [50] have shown that the holding strength of sutures placed widely exceeds that of sutures placed directly through the linea alba, a weak structure prone to spontaneous hernias and diastasis.

The medial paramedian incision provides adequate exposure as well, but with limited trauma to rectus muscle. No nerves are injured, the closure is reputed to be secure, but both opening and closure are more time-consuming as compared with the midline incision. Some investigators failed to establish any difference between midline incisions and medial paramedian incisions with regard to the occurrence of IH. However, the lateral paramedian incision was shown to be better than midline or medial paramedian incisions in terms of IH risks [53]. To be effective, a paramedian incision must be lateral, not close to the midline in order to avoid ischemia of the linea alba [18]. Cahalane et al. [18] found an incidence of 0.33% of IH at 1 year in 1,203 lateral midline incisions from four different series.

Transverse or oblique incisions have been found to be better than the midline incisions, with less respiratory compromise, less morbidity, and, in particular, less IH [54, 55]. Distracting forces on a vertical incision during activity during the postoperative period are said to be nearly twice as great as those on a transverse incision. Many of the trials showing that IH is more common after midline as opposed to transverse incisions were uncontrolled for disease process. Conditions such as emergency surgery, hemorrhage, trauma, or abdominal sepsis may have had a greater influence on the development of IH than the type of incision used [1]; Ellis et al. [56], in a prospective randomized trial, found no significant difference in the rates of IH in patients undergoing midline, paramedian, or transverse incisions. Furthermore, the strongest argument against the subcostal incision is that, unless confined exclusively to the rectus muscle, partial denervation of the abdominal wall ensues with permanent muscle weakness.

Wound Infection

Carrel [57] first described impaired wound healing secondary to infectious processes in 1924. He created cutaneous wounds in dogs, and after several days injected turpentine into the flanks to induce abscess formation. Epithelialization and wound contraction were slowed or stopped in the infected animals. In 1974, de Haan et al. [58] found that infection decreased the bursting strength of both gastric and abdominal wounds. Although the mechanisms governing wound healing impairment by infection are still to be defined, studies on the healing of colonic anastomoses suggest that sepsis inhibits collagen synthesis at the anastomotic site [59]. A similar process affecting abdominal wounds seems likely. Riou et al. [22] identified septicemia as a risk factor for wound dehis-
ence. Electric cautery seems to lower the threshold of bacterial infection of the laparotomy wound in rats [60]. The coagulation current caused more inflammation, necrosis, and abscesses than the scalpel at all bacterial levels. However, these results were not confirmed by clinical experience in humans [61].

Wound infection is considered by many as the most important factor contributing to the development of IH. Bucknall et al. [19] found that 48% of the patients who developed IH had wound infection during the postoperative period, the presence of a wound infection conferring a fivefold increase in the rate of IH [19].

**Wound Closure**

Many believe that there is no association between the method of wound closure and the incidence of IH. Moynihan [62] stated in 1920 that ‘suture material should ideally: (1) achieve its purpose – be sufficient to hold parts together, close a vessel, etc.; (2) disappear as soon as its work is accomplished; (3) be free from infection, and (4) be nonirritant...’ These requisites were indeed right and still remain valid nowadays. However, Moynihan concluded: ‘The only material which can be made to fulfil these conditions is catgut’ [62]. However, catgut is rapidly absorbed, challenging the first item in Moynihan’s definition. This illustrates the fact that the perfect suture material does not exist, since each era has had its own ‘ideal’ suture material. Experimental research [63] has shown that one year after laparotomy the abdominal fascia retains only 70% of its original tensile strength. Polyglactin (Vicryl) is a polymer of glycolic and lactic acids degradable over 40–60 days, and disappearing at 75 days. Vicryl resists enzymatic digestion, body secretion, as well as infection. Synthetic absorbable sutures such as poliglycolic acid and polyglactin have the advantage of disappearing in time, since they are fully absorbed after 75 days but have no strength left after 30 days. Polydioxanone-s (PDS) is only absorbed after 180 days and retains 70% of its strength after 3 weeks. It is a monofilament suture having the advantage of nylon and polypropylene with a smooth surface which slides easily through the tissues, reducing the risks of tissue necrosis and bacteria adherence [64, 65].

The importance of the suture length/wound length ratio has been emphasized in many studies. Using a purely mechanical approach to wound closure, Jenkins [64] in 1976 established that the correct closure of a vertical abdominal incision implies a suture length/wound length ratio >4/1.

Measurements of the xiphoid pubic distance before and after closure demonstrate that abdominal distension may lengthen the wound by 30%. The continuous suture can accommodate to this increase in length of the incision by having an adequate reserve suture length in the wound. The continuous suture distributes its tension throughout the wound, limiting the forces on the tissues encircled by the sutures. This technique was experimentally proven to be associated with greater bursting pressures than the simple interrupted sutures or figure-of-8 mattress sutures [66].

There are many prospective and retrospective studies that have compared various suture materials in abdominal wound closure. However, since the introduction of synthetic absorbable sutures, the majority of these trials have shown no difference in the overall incidence of wound complications in comparison with various nonabsorbable sutures. At least four studies [9, 67–69] compared continuous versus interrupted closure of midline incisions. All found that continuous suture closure was as effective and as safe as interrupted suture closure with the advantage of being more expeditious.

However, PDS seems to be associated with a lower rate of IH [69, 70]. The double-stranded suture type providing nearly twice the initial tensile strength of a single strand of the same diameter may be valuable in high-risk patients (i.e., those having obesity) [70].

Finally, mechanical stress may play an important part in the development of late IH. Coughing, abdominal distension, heavy physical exercise, straining during defecation, or vomiting after operation may increase the IH independently of any other associated factors [2]. In a recently published meta-analysis of the trials comparing routine to elective nasogastric decompression after elective laparotomy [71], the authors concluded that routine decompression results in a significantly increased incidence of pulmonary complications (fever, atelectasis, and pneumonia) and does not decrease the incidence of wound complications (infection and dehiscence).

The continuous double-loop closure (CDLC), using double-stranded sutures, is reputed to withstand raised intra-abdominal pressure, while apposition of the wound edges is maintained [72]. This phenomenon was expected to result in a lowering of wound pain and dehiscence. In a recent study, Niggebrügge et al. [25] compared the CDLC to the more commonly used continuous running suture in patients undergoing midline laparotomy. The CDLC technique was associated with more wound dehiscence and rupture. Although CDLC can resist high intra-abdominal pressures, it seems to decrease the compliance...
of the abdominal wall, increasing the risk of postoperative pulmonary complications and death.

**IH after Laparoscopic Surgery**

The incidence of IH after laparoscopic surgery is low, averaging less than 1% [73]. The diameter of the port site seems to be the major factor. Eighty-six percent of such IH develop in port sites of 10 mm or more [74]. There is a tenfold increase in the incidence of extrabmidial hernias, if a 12-mm port is used. Other factors incriminated include long duration of surgery and multiple insertions, large quantities of fluid left in the peritoneal cavity, inadequate evacuation of pneumoperitoneum and unrelaxed abdominal wall at the end of the procedure, and increased abdominal pressure at the end of surgery [75, 76].

The closure of all port sites of 10 mm or more is indicated, preferentially intracorporeally under direct vision, since IH have been reported after external closure of the aponeurosis [75].

**Optimizing Wound Closure**

In 1998, Weiland et al. [77] reviewed the medical world literature of techniques of abdominal wound closure between 1977 and 1997 and found 25 comparative articles of which 23 were randomized. A total of 12,247 patients from nine countries were analyzed. Comparison of continuous and interrupted sutures failed to reach significance. In analyzing dehiscence, conclusions could not be drawn, since the populations compared were disproportionate, creating a type I error. The infection rate was not significantly different in all types of comparisons. The authors concluded that the choice of suture material should be based solely on the rates of IH formation. When continuous closures are chosen, nonabsorbable sutures are most appropriate. If interrupted closures are chosen, absorbable sutures should be favored. Layered closure may increase the risk of infection, hernia, and dehiscence as compared with mass closure. However, although the authors, in their meta-analysis, used the Stouffer method based on the standard deviation Z score, with a special attention to type II errors, the drawn conclusions are difficult to generalize.

In 2000, Hodgson et al. [78] reviewed all randomized clinical trials conducted in adults and published in English between 1966 and 1998, excluding those comparing two sutures of the same category and with the same technique. Strict methodological barriers for inclusion were set, including mainly the Jadad Quality Score [79]. IH were 32% less frequent with nonabsorbable sutures as compared with absorbable sutures. Although the infection rates were not significantly different, nonabsorbable sutures were associated with an increased rate of cutaneous sinuses and wound pain. The running type of suturing was associated with significantly lower rates of IH. The authors recommended the use of running nonabsorbable sutures as the standard modality of wound closure.

Although some discrepancies may exist with large randomized trials, meta-analysis can be a good tool to resolve clinical controversy. However, the patient populations were heterogeneous without patent data comparing patient-related factors such as obesity, steroid medication, hypoalbuminemia, age, and pulmonary diseases, among others. Additional factors of discrepancies include type of incision, antibiotic prophylaxis, the emergency type of operation, and follow-up period.

Independently of patient-related factors, the type of incision dictated by the type of the operation and the preference of the surgeon, and the type of closure, which may be layered or mass, two major factors may be controlled to some extent: the infection rate and the type of the suture material. Reducing the infection rate seems to be the key factor in reducing IH. Rigorous aseptic technique and limitation of the use of electric cautery are recommended. The most suitable suture material seems to be PDS which cumulates the short-term benefits of nonabsorbable sutures (tensile force) without accumulating their long-term inconveniences such as sinus formation and pain. The type of closure (interrupted vs. continuous) seems to be secondary, if some rules such as avoiding ischemic suturing (the figure-of-8 type) and reducing early malunion (wide sutures) are implemented. There is no argument for recommending the preventive use of an absorbable (polyglactin) mesh placed on top of a facial closure in high-risk patients [80].
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