Influence of Vitamin A Consumption on Resting Metabolic Rate and Fasting Respiratory Quotient in Severely Obese Subjects
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Abstract
Objective: To study whether or not the amount of vitamin A consumed affects the resting metabolic rate (RMR) and fat oxidation at rest in severely obese subjects.

Materials and Methods: In 239 obese subjects, RMR and fasting respiratory quotient (RQ) were determined by indirect calorimetry. Vitamin A consumption was calculated by the Czech PC program ‘Nutrition’. The relation between the intake of vitamin A and RMR and RQ was tested by simple regression. High and low vitamin A consumers were defined by an upper and a lower quintile of vitamin A intake (>842 vs. <382 IU/day).

Results: The RMR for high and low vitamin A consumers were 7,693.5 ± 1,557 and 7,479.8 ± 1,708 kJ/day, respectively, while the corresponding values for fasting RQ were 0.800 ± 0.077 and 0.809 ± 0.049, respectively. No significant correlation was found between vitamin A consumption and both RMR and RQ. Similarly, there was no significant difference in RMR and RQ, as well as weight, body mass index, body fat, waist girth and food quotient between the two groups characterized by high and low consumption of vitamin A. However, the energy intake of high vitamin A consumers was significantly higher than that of low vitamin A consumers, due to higher carbohydrate and protein intake. Conclusion: There was no significant correlation between the vitamin A intake and RMR or RQ in obese subjects determined in this study.

Introduction

Recent studies revealed that vitamin A could affect the regulation of fat stores by influencing adipocyte differentiation, thermogenesis and fat oxidation [1, 2]. Vitamin A and its structural derivatives (retinoids) are involved in many important functions of the human organism, such as embryonic development, differentiation of epithelial and mesenchymal cells, hematopoiesis, reproduction and vision [3]. The main active metabolites are retinal and retinoic acid (RA). The former plays the key role in vision, and the latter mediates the other functions. RA is present in two isomers, all-trans RA and 9-cis RA, and acts via the activation of two types of nuclear receptors, RA receptors (RARs) and retinoid X receptors (RXRs). All-trans RA is a ligand for RAR, whereas 9-cis RA binds both RAR and RXR [1, 4].
In mammals, there are two different types of adipose tissue: white adipose tissue and brown adipose tissue. While white adipose tissue is involved in lipid storage, brown adipose tissue is the main site of non-shivering thermogenesis. Both types have been shown to take part in retinoid uptake, storage, mobilization and transport. Several studies revealed that RA induces the expression of the genes for uncoupling protein (UCP) 1 [5, 6] and UCP 3 [7]. These proteins are located in the inner mitochondrial membrane, act as protonophors and thus are able to uncouple oxidative processes of the respiratory chain from ATP synthesis. Retinoids may also stimulate the biochemical activity of UCPs, including that of UCP 2 expressed in white adipose tissue [8]. Therefore, they have been implicated in the regulation of energy metabolism.

RA has long been recognized as a potent inhibitor of adipocyte differentiation in several cell lines [9]. The effect depends on the retinoid concentration, the stage of the cell differentiation as well as the availability of retinoid receptors [10]. Because retinoids play a potential role in the regulation of fat stores and are possibly used in the treatment of obesity, we decided to study whether or not a relationship between the amount of vitamin A intake and resting metabolic rate (RMR) and fat oxidation at rest (evaluated by fasting respiratory quotient, RQ) exists in severely obese subjects.

**Subjects and Methods**

Subjects were recruited from patients who were referred to the Obesity Management Center of the Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic. We examined 239 severely obese subjects. The patients were divided into two groups of high and low vitamin A consumption, with an upper and a lower quintile of vitamin A intake of >842 and <382 IU/day, respectively. The average daily intake of vitamin A in the whole cohort was 670 ± 430 IU/day. We were not able to measure the vitamin A status in each patient as we were primarily concerned with daily intake.

Weight, body fat mass and fat-free mass were assessed by the bipedal bioimpedance method (TBF-105, Tanita, Tokyo, Japan) [11]. Anthropometrical measurements included waist circumference as a marker of body fat distribution. Open-circuit indirect calorimetry (Deltatrac®, Datex, Helsinki, Finland) under basal conditions was used to determine the resting metabolic rate and RQ. The measurement was performed for 30 min in recumbent position after a 16-hour overnight fast. Patients were asked to avoid smoking, caffeine intake and vigorous physical activity 24 h prior to indirect calorimetric measurement. The mean intraindividual coefficient of variation from repeated measurements was 3.0% for RMR.

An average daily intake of energy, vitamin A, fat, carbohydrate and protein was calculated using the PC program ‘Nutrition’ which comprises about 2,500 food items of the Czech cuisine. The recorded 1-week dietary intake before the calorimetric examination was analyzed. However, the dietary records that were conducted three times during the 6-month period preceding the measurements exhibited similar results with regard to the energy and nutrient intake. The validity of the program used was confirmed by chemical analysis of vitamin A in ingested items. The food quotient (FQ), which is the theoretical RQ produced by the diet, was calculated from macronutrient intake expressed in percent according to the formula described by Toubro et al. [12]:

\[
FQ = \left[0.207 \cdot \text{carbohydrate} \% + 0.159 \cdot \text{fat} \% + 0.193 \cdot \text{protein} \% + 0.137 \cdot \text{alcohol} \% \right] / \left[0.207 \cdot \text{carbohydrate} \% + 0.226 \cdot \text{fat} \% + 0.243 \cdot \text{protein} \% + 0.206 \cdot \text{alcohol} \% \right].
\]

**Statistical Analysis.** Values are presented as means ± SD. The t test for unpaired data was used for comparing the two groups of subjects. p < 0.05 was the threshold of significance.

**Results**

The essential characteristics (table 1) included age (42.9 ± 12.0 years), weight (110.2 ± 22.7 kg), and body mass index (39.1 ± 7.5 kg/m²). The dietary and metabolic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>High consumers</th>
<th>Low consumers</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subjects</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>48.6±11.8</td>
<td>42.9±11.7</td>
<td>0.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight, kg</td>
<td>114.5±22.4</td>
<td>109.7±22.7</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, kg/m²</td>
<td>39.7±6.9</td>
<td>39.5±7.7</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body fat, kg</td>
<td>57.5±14.7</td>
<td>60.4±21.3</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFM, kg</td>
<td>56.5±15.8</td>
<td>49.3±9.7</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference, cm</td>
<td>118±17</td>
<td>113±18</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI = Body mass index; FFM = fat-free mass.
parameters are given in Table 2. The RMR and fasting RQ were similar for both high and low vitamin A consumers. The difference was not significant, indicating no correlation between the intake of vitamin A and both RMR and RQ even after adjusting for age. (The correlation coefficient between vitamin A intake and, RMR and RQ was 0.081, 0.084, respectively). The body mass index, body fat, waist girth and food quotient were comparable in cohorts discordant with regard to the vitamin A intake although the high vitamin A consumers exhibited a significantly higher energy intake than low vitamin A consumers. The higher energy intake in this cohort was due to the higher intake of all three macronutrients. However, a significantly higher intake was demonstrated for carbohydrate and protein (p = 0.008). Surprisingly, the two groups of subjects did not differ significantly either in fat intake or in the RMR and the fasting RQ.

### Conclusion

The decrease in vitamin A intake observed during the long-term maintenance low-energy diet did not affect the RMR and fasting RQ in severely obese subjects. However, vitamin A may be involved in weight changes, but the underlying mechanism needs further investigation.

### Discussion

Although we did not determine the vitamin A status in the volunteers, it has been demonstrated that a 24-hour recall of dietary intake is useful in evaluating the vitamin A status of a population, if the intake of food rich in vitamin A is infrequent [13].

Retinoids are reported to play an important role in the expression of several genes involved in the control of energy metabolism, but our results did not show that the amount of vitamin A consumed affects RMR and RQ in humans. This result could be due to inaccurate self-reports of dietary intake recorded by the subjects. However, the possible impact of retinoids on energy metabolism cannot be excluded. Although the higher vitamin A consumers exhibited a significantly higher energy intake, they were not more obese than the low vitamin A consumers. Therefore, there must be a factor preventing weight gain. A possible explanation could be the role of retinoids in diet-induced thermogenesis instead of resting energy expenditure. However, diet-induced thermogenesis was not studied.

### Table 2. Dietary and metabolic parameters of high and low vitamin A consumers (means ± SD)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Vitamin A high consumers</th>
<th>Vitamin A low consumers</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RMR, kJ/day</td>
<td>7,693.5 ± 1.557</td>
<td>7,479.8 ± 1.708</td>
<td>NS a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting RQ</td>
<td>0.800 ± 0.077</td>
<td>0.809 ± 0.049</td>
<td>NS a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy intake, kJ/day</td>
<td>6,741 ± 2.912</td>
<td>5,289 ± 1.675</td>
<td>0.0078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat intake, g/day</td>
<td>55.3 ± 36.9</td>
<td>43.3 ± 30.5</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrate intake, g/day</td>
<td>212.1 ± 82.1</td>
<td>168.6 ± 59.5</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein intake, g/day</td>
<td>64.2 ± 22.8</td>
<td>52.9 ± 12.8</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food quotient</td>
<td>0.872 ± 0.024</td>
<td>0.871 ± 0.029</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Adjusted for body composition and age.
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