Growth Hormone Deficiency: Strategies and Indications to Continue Growth Hormone Therapy in Transition from Adolescence to Adult Life
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Abstract
The most common practice in children with growth hormone (GH) deficiency is to discontinue GH treatment in adolescence after attainment of final height. Childhood-onset GH deficiency (GHD) that continues into adulthood and is not treated may be associated with more severe consequences than GHD acquired as an adult. This raises the question of the importance of GH for continuing tissue maturation after longitudinal growth has stopped. Data from recent studies suggest that muscle and bone maturation is arrested when GH treatment is discontinued at final height in adolescents in whom severe GHD continues into adulthood. These patients also develop, even in the short term, well-known cardiovascular risk factors associated with GHD in adults. Retesting for GHD is crucial in adolescence because a considerable number of patients will not have severe GHD according to the criteria set for adults. Continuing replacement therapy in these patients is warranted, but cost-benefit comparisons of treatment are still under debate and a lack of acceptance, and hence reimbursement, for such treatment is still common. In this review, the management and organization of transition, with and without continuing GH replacement therapy, are also discussed.

Introduction
Transition is a term used to describe the period of adolescence after which the primary goal of growth hormone (GH) treatment is to achieve final adult height, and when the major goals of GH replacement become normalization of metabolism and quality of life. Data on somatic development from studies of this period are scarce. This is also the period when paediatric endocrinologists have to support their patients’ entry into the adult world, and adult endocrinologists have to gain the confidence of these new patients and their paediatric endocrinologist.

The literature about transition has been characterized by a lack of information on GH doses, how GH treatment should be targeted, and uncertainties regarding the overall benefits of adult GH replacement therapy. Lack of communication between the paediatric and adult endocrine settings and lack of organized caring for these patients is common. There are, therefore, both clinical and practical problems during the period of transition.
The period from the attainment of final adult height to the age of 25 to 30 years is probably of importance for tissue maturation. Data suggest that peak bone mass, and peak muscle mass and strength are obtained during this period of life [1, 2]. Psychosocial maturation is also important during this stage of life. The reason for increasing interest in this period in adolescents with GH deficiency (GHD) is the realization of the consequences of untreated GHD in hypopituitary adults. These include a two-fold increase in cardiovascular and cerebrovascular mortality compared with the background population [3, 4]. Patients have a number of important cardiovascular risk factors and reduced quality of life and well-being that respond to GH replacement therapy [5–8]. The conclusion has therefore been reached that continuing GH replacement therapy may be needed after final height has been attained.

In this short review, we discuss the consequences of not receiving adult GH replacement therapy in patients with childhood-onset (CO) GHD, the short-term consequences of discontinuing GH treatment after final height is reached, and the current understanding of how to define GHD in late adolescence.

**Adults with Childhood-Onset GH Deficiency**

The majority of cross-sectional studies of GHD in adults have not analysed patients with CO GHD separately from those with adult-onset (AO) disease, and some studies have only included one of the two groups [5, 6, 9, 10]. It has been suggested, however, that the appearance of GHD may differ between individuals with CO and AO disease [11]. In direct comparison with patients with AO GHD, patients with CO GHD have a lower body mass index, waist:hip ratio, serum insulin-like growth factor I (IGF-I) concentrations and higher serum high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol concentrations, as well as superior (better) scores for quality of life [11]. In addition, results from trials that have included mainly patients with either CO or AO GHD have indicated more severe consequences of GHD in adults with CO disease in terms of reduced muscle mass [9, 12] and bone mass [13, 14]. The consequences of GHD, in terms of heart structure and function, may also be more pronounced in adults with CO GHD [15]. If, however, the AO and CO groups are closely matched in terms of age, sex, height and body weight, then both groups have similar degrees of impairment in left ventricular function [16]. Moreover, the apparent differences in body composition at baseline between individuals with CO and AO GHD were explained in one study by lower body height in those with CO disease [17]. In another study, the difference in serum IGF-I concentration between the groups was found to be eliminated if there was adjustment for estimated duration and severity of GHD [18]. The immediate discontinuation of GH treatment after final height is reached, but before peak bone mass and other tissue maturation have been achieved, may explain some of the differences found between patients with CO and AO GHD [19–21].

The response to GH replacement therapy may be different in adults with CO and AO disease. The first study to investigate this possibility did not demonstrate differences in the response to GH, in terms of IGF-I and body composition, when baseline differences between groups were adjusted [17]. In a large multi-centre trial, patients with CO GHD were shown to have normal scoring on a quality of life questionnaire at baseline and it was found that their scoring did not change in response to GH treatment [11]. In a recent trial, patients with CO or AO GHD were selected and closely matched in terms of age, sex, body mass index and degree of anterior pituitary hormone deficiency [21]. Although closely matched, the CO group was found to have a longer duration of hypopituitarism, to be shorter, have reduced serum concentration of IGF-I, increased total body fat, decreased lean body mass and reduced muscle strength. In addition, bone mineral content (BMC) and bone mineral density (BMD) in the lumbar spine were reduced in the CO group whereas the patients with AO disease had increased serum concentration of total cholesterol. In response to 5 years of GH replacement therapy, the CO group showed a greater increase in lean body mass, muscle strength, BMC and BMD in the lumbar spine, while the AO group had a greater reduction in total serum cholesterol. After 5 years of GH replacement, there were no differences between the two study groups after a correction for body height, indicating that all differences between the two groups at baseline could be corrected through long-term GH replacement therapy.

**Consequences of Discontinuing GH Treatment in Adolescents**

The prospective consequences of discontinuing GH treatment in adolescents after final height has been achieved have not often been studied. In the first trial conducted, involving eight adolescent patients, reductions in quadriceps muscle strength, muscle size and muscle fibre area were demonstrated, as well as an increase in the
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Fig. 1. Percentage change in serum LDL-cholesterol and HDL-cholesterol concentrations between baseline and 2 years after discontinuing GH treatment in adolescents. One group consisted of 21 adolescents with severe GHD, the second group included 19 GH-sufficient adolescents (GHS) and the third group consisted of 16 healthy controls. *p < 0.05 compared with controls and †p < 0.05 compared with the GH-sufficient group. Data from Johannsson et al. [25].

A more recent trial evaluated 40 adolescent patients before and annually for 2 years after stopping GH treatment once final height was reached [25]. Sixteen people were recruited to be healthy controls and were followed longitudinally for 2 years for comparison. All participants entering the trial were re-evaluated in terms of severe GHD and 19 patients were classified as GH sufficient. The GH-sufficient group and the controls displayed longitudinal changes in terms of lipoproteins and body composition similar to those reported in large cross-sectional cohorts of healthy individuals of a similar age [26, 27]; this indicated that the endogenous GH secretion in the GH-sufficient group was adequate during the period of follow-up.

Total cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol and apolipoprotein B levels were higher in the GH-deficient group than in the GH-sufficient and control groups, and serum concentrations of HDL-cholesterol decreased in the GH-deficient group, but increased in the other two groups [25] (fig. 1). Moreover, the amount of total body fat and abdominal fat mass increased more markedly in the GH-deficient group than in the GH-sufficient and control groups when GH treatment was discontinued. Blood glucose levels did not change in the adolescents in whom GH treatment was stopped or in the controls during 2 years of observation, and the level of glycosylated haemoglobin and concentration of serum insulin decreased in both patient groups [25].

In a randomized trial of either discontinuing (placebo) or continuing GH treatment after final height was reached, rates of fasting lipid oxidation decreased and glucose oxidation and body fat mass increased in those participants receiving 12 months of placebo treatment, but not in those who continued GH therapy [28, 29]. These changes were reversed after resumption of GH treatment. Using a euglycaemic glucose clamp, a tendency of insulin sensitivity to improve was seen when GH treatment was changed to placebo and a decrease was observed when GH treatment was reintroduced; however, no change was observed in the group that continued GH treatment [29].

These studies of transition have also produced data suggesting that GH is of importance for the maturation of lean body mass and muscle strength in adolescents and young adults [30]. Two hundred and twenty-three healthy adolescents were randomly selected for prospective measurements of lean body mass and handgrip strength between the ages of 17 and 21 years. Their lean body mass and handgrip strength increased over the 2-year study period, but this increase was not seen in a group of 21 GH-deficient adolescents who were not receiving GH treatment (fig. 2). Recent data also demonstrate that discontinuation of GH treatment in adolescents at final height impairs cardiac morphology and function [31]. In an open study of 10 adolescents with severe GHD continuing into adulthood, discontinuation of GH treatment at final height impairs cardiac morphology and function [31]. In an open study of 10 adolescents with severe GHD continuing into adulthood, discontinuation of GH treatment at final height impairs cardiac morphology and function [31]. In an open study of 10 adolescents with severe GHD continuing into adulthood, discontinuation of GH treatment at final height impairs cardiac morphology and function [31].
(except for height) show that the CO group had reduced BMD, suggesting that peak bone mass was not attained or that there may have been significant bone loss after GH treatment was discontinued [20, 21]. It was found in a recent trial, however, that adolescents with continuing GHD into adulthood had the same BMC and BMD as a small well-matched control group at the time of discontinuation of GH treatment [32]. After GH therapy was stopped, a further increase in BMD and a sharp reduction in serum markers of bone formation and bone resorption occurred in line with previous experience in young adults stopping GH treatment [33] and most probably reflecting a reduction in bone turnover and mineralization of the bone-remodelling units. In a recent abstract, however, data demonstrating that adolescents who continue GH treatment achieve more marked increases in total BMC than those who discontinue treatment suggest that discontinuation may limit the attainment of peak bone mass [34].

Scoring of quality of life from commonly used generic questionnaires is normal in adolescents with CO GHD [11, 35]. The scoring has, however, been observed to be slightly poorer in a group with severe GHD continuing into adulthood, in terms of depression, general health and anxiety, compared with adolescents who did not have severe GHD at final height [35]. No further changes occurred during the 2 years after GH treatment was stopped, demonstrating that discontinuing GH therapy in late adolescence does not risk an immediate decline in perceived quality of life detectable with the Nottingham Health Profile and Psychological General Well-Being measures. Using The Mood Adjective Check List and visual analogue assessments, however, small temporal changes occurred, suggesting clinically significant changes [35]. It is also clear from recent data that the CO group is not homogeneous in terms of perceived well-being and quality of life. In an open trial of individualized GH dose titration, CO patients in whom quality of life was impaired at baseline showed a capacity for improvement that was equal or even greater than that of patients with AO GHD [36].

**Retesting for Continuing Severe GH Deficiency into Adulthood**

Retesting of GH response to various pharmacological stimuli in late adolescence or young adulthood in patients with CO GHD has revealed a significant but variable proportion of patients (from 12 to 90%) with normal GH

![Fig. 2. Box plot showing mean (± standard error of the mean and ± standard deviation [bars]) individual change in **a** lean body mass and **b** peak hand grip strength in adolescents discontinuing GH treatment and healthy controls of similar age followed for 2 and 4 years, respectively. The three groups examined were 21 adolescents with GHD continuing into adulthood, 19 GH-sufficient adolescents (GHS) and 223 adolescent controls. The data are shown as the estimated mean within individual change with time. *p < 0.05, ***p < 0.001 compared with the GH-deficient group. Reproduced with permission from Hulthen et al. [30]: ©The Endocrine Society.](image-url)
responses [37]. The variability in persistence of GHD on repeat testing in these patients appears to depend not only on the type of test and the definition of GHD used in various studies, but also on whether GHD was isolated or associated with other pituitary hormonal deficits or organic disease. What is clear is that most short children treated with GH when retested as adults do not have classical severe GHD as previously defined as a cut-off point lower than 3 μg/l after insulin-induced hypoglycaemia [38, 39]. Thus, the key question is which children, as young adults, should be retested to determine whether GH therapy should be continued?

In one of the few studies that included normal healthy controls, 62 young adults with CO GHD were retested using a GH-releasing hormone (GHRH)-arginine test and their response compared with that of 48 age-matched, normal participants to the same test [40]. The third centile for normal response for this test was 16.5 μg/l and the first centile was 9.0 μg/l. Based on a definition of GHD as a response less than the third centile, all patients with organic pituitary disease were confirmed to have GHD with a mean GH response of 2.8 \(\pm\) 0.8 μg/l, while 65% of the idiopathic GH-deficient patients (mean response 18.6 \(\pm\) 4.7 μg/l), and no patients from the GH neurosecretory dysfunction group (mean response 31.3 \(\pm\) 1.6 μg/l) were confirmed to be GH deficient. With respect to the first centile limit of GH response (i.e. 9.0 μg/l), retesting confirmed severe GHD in 94 and 52% of patients with organic or isolated GHD, respectively.

In another study, the GH response to an insulin tolerance test (ITT) was re-evaluated in 69 adult patients with CO GHD. Normal responses were defined from 38 healthy individuals using the GHRH-pyridostigmine (PD) test and an ITT [41]. In 39 of the 69 patients (56%), the GH response was less than 10 μg/l to the GHRH + PD test and in 21 of these 39 patients, the mean GH response to the ITT was 1.9 \(\pm\) 1.7 μg/l, thus confirming severe GHD in these patients. Those patients with a GH response greater than 10 μg/l to GHRH + PD had a mean GH response to ITT of 21.1 \(\pm\) 9.3 μg/l.

In a large multi-centre study of 817 patients, the positive predictive value for severe GHD of the number of associated pituitary hormone deficiencies and a low serum IGF-I level was examined [42]. GH testing was performed using 11 different methods, and thus, severe GHD was defined as a GH response less than 2.5 μg/l. The study revealed that the positive predictive values for severe GHD of the presence of three or more associated pituitary hormone deficiencies or a low serum level of IGF-I, defined according to the competitive binding.

Management Issues of GH Deficiency after Final Height Is Reached

Although GH has been approved as a therapeutic agent for adult GHD in most Western societies, its availability is limited in many countries because of financial and insurance restraints, and uncertainty about the long-term cost-benefit of such treatment. With the increasing evidence of the beneficial effects of GH replacement therapy for patients with severe adult GHD, it is anticipated that...
GH may become more readily available. Nevertheless, if GH therapy is discontinued because of its unavailability or patient desire to stop, an evaluation should be undertaken of the metabolic and biochemical consequences and the possible psychosocial effects of withdrawing GH. In this situation, measurement of changes in body composition, bone density, monitoring of IGF-I levels and adequate assessment of quality of life may provide valuable information to the patient and clinician about the individual consequences of GHD. This may aid the patient and the patient’s physician in reaching a considered decision regarding whether to recommence GH therapy.

Paediatric and adult endocrinologists from Scandinavia, a region where GH for adult replacement therapy is readily available, recently discussed GH treatment into adulthood at an interactive workshop. Their recommendations advocated the continuation of GH replacement in adolescents into adulthood only when adequate measures have been performed to establish persistent severe GH deficiency [46]. It was emphasized that the paediatricians, at the start of GH treatment in children, should make it clear that GH replacement might continue into adulthood. Close collaboration between paediatric and adult endocrine specialists is mandatory for an efficient organization to be built based on local traditions, expertise and geographical considerations.

**Long-Term Safety of Continuing Long-Term GH Replacement**

Does GH treatment increase the risk of recurrence of cancer or the development of de novo cancers? The available data suggest that there is no increased risk of cancer during or after GH treatment and that GH therapy is therefore considered safe [47, 48].

In one of the best controlled studies, involving 180 children with brain tumours who received GH and 891 survivors not treated with GH, Swerdlow et al. [49] showed that the relative risk of first tumour recurrence and death was actually higher in the group not treated with GH. Nevertheless, data from a recent widely discussed retrospective study in 1,848 adults who had received human pituitary GH between 1959 and 1985 showed a small but significant increase in the incidence of cancer when these patients were re-evaluated between 1995 and 2000 [50]. Although this study did find an overall increased incidence of various cancers and a threefold increased mortality, the actual number of extra cancers was very small. This included only two extra cases of colorectal cancer (one patient may have had a familial predisposition). The authors themselves point out that these results are clearly preliminary and that the rate of cancer in an untreated group of GH-deficient patients is not known. The relatively small cohort and small numbers of patients affected with cancer may therefore have inadvertently skewed the results. Thus, larger and longer-term studies are clearly warranted to clarify this important question about the association, if any, between GH replacement therapy and cancer.

Similar quality data and follow-up is also missing for de novo cancers in adults with GHD during long-term GH replacement therapy. Current data suggest, however, that adults with pituitary adenoma may have an increased risk of other neoplasia. A retrospective survey compared the rate of neoplasia in patients with non-functional pituitary adenoma with that in the general population [51]. The investigators found an increased incidence of cancer in 151 patients with non-functional pituitary adenoma compared with the expected incidence in the general population. In a large study including all individuals with pituitary tumours included in the Swedish Cancer Registry between 1958 and 1991, an excessive mortality from all tumours (pituitary excluded) and malignant tumours of the brain was found [52]. These data indicate, therefore, that other forms of cancer may be associated with pituitary tumours or their treatment. Current recommendations for cancer prevention and early detection in the general population should therefore be implemented in adults and adolescents who receive GH replacement therapy. In addition, pituitary imaging should be performed before and on a regular basis during GH treatment in patients with underlying hypothalamic-pituitary-neoplasia [47].

A retrospective analysis by Cutfield et al. of the KIGS (Pharmacia International Growth Database) database of 23,333 children who received GH treatment demonstrated abnormal glucose tolerance in 85 children (0.36%) [53]. While there was no increase in the incidence of type 1 diabetes mellitus, the incidence of type 2 diabetes mellitus (34 cases per 100,000 years of GH treatment) was sixfold higher than reported in two large diabetes mellitus epidemiological studies of children. Based on this observation, the authors concluded that the GH therapy might have hastened the onset of type 2 diabetes mellitus in children with a predisposition to develop diabetes. Whether these data can be extrapolated to adult GH replacement therapy where the doses of GH are much lower is not known. Present data show that with commencement of GH replacement in adults, there is only a transient re-
duction in insulin sensitivity [54] with no adverse effects on glucose metabolism after 5 years of treatment, even with reduced serum levels of insulin and triglycerides [55].

Conclusion

GH replacement therapy is considered to be safe and effective in transition patients with documented severe GHD. Thus, the overall evidence strongly suggests that GH therapy should be continued after attainment of final adult height. The consequences of CO GHD are more severe and may take years to normalize, so the safe duration of a break from GH treatment during adolescence is not known. We must acknowledge that all adolescents cannot be offered continued treatment. In this situation, it is recommended that follow-up clinical, psychosocial, metabolic and biochemical evaluations should be performed on a regular basis. Such studies should be performed where possible within a research study protocol to attain greater understanding and prospective data on the consequences of discontinuation of GH treatment, as relatively few prospective data exist. Studies of this type should, if possible, incorporate the study of adolescents documented with moderate-severe GHD or normal GH secretion on retesting. Continuing treatment with GH should be based on the individual optimization of the GH dose [56, 57], together with careful surveillance and management of other pituitary hormone deficiencies and underlying disease, all performed by an endocrinologist specializing in the field.
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