Role of Actions of Calcium Antagonists on Efferent Arterioles – with Special References to Glomerular Hypertension
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Abstract
Although calcium antagonists are used as a first-line antihypertensive agent, controversy attends the renal microvascular effects of calcium antagonists. Since calcium antagonists elicit predominant vasodilation of the afferent arteriole, they might ostensibly aggravate glomerular hypertension. Recently, novel types of calcium antagonists have been developed, some of which are reported to dilate efferent as well as afferent arterioles. The present review attempted to characterize the renal microvascular action of calcium antagonists, and evaluated the consequences of renal injury following the treatment with these antagonists. In contrast to predominant afferent arteriolar action of conventional calcium antagonists (e.g. nifedipine, nicardipine, amlodipine and diltiazem), novel antagonists (e.g. manidipine, nilvadipine, benidipine and efonidipine) potent dilated both afferent and efferent arterioles. The vasodilator action on efferent arterioles appears to be mediated in part by the blockade of T-type calcium channels, particularly through the inhibition of the intracellular calcium release mechanism. The comparison of the anti-proteinuric action of calcium antagonists in subtotal nephrectomized rats showed that efonidipine and enalapril, both possessing vasodilator action on efferent arterioles, exerted more prominent action than other calcium antagonists. Finally, in patients with chronic renal disease, a 48-week treatment with efonidipine reduced proteinuria, and this effect was seen even when the mean arterial blood pressure failed to reach below 100 mm Hg. In conclusion, although calcium antagonists potently inhibit afferent arteriolar constriction, efferent arteriolar responses to these agents vary, depending on the types of calcium antagonists used. These divergent actions of these agents on the efferent arteriole may alter differently the glomerular hemodynamics, and could affect the final outcome of underlying renal diseases.

Introduction
Hypertension causes renal injury that eventually progresses to end-stage renal failure. To minimize the hypertension-induced renal damage, numerous antihypertensive agents have been developed, including calcium antagonists, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors...
(ACE-I), and angiotensin receptor antagonists (ARB). Indeed, calcium antagonists are widely used as a first-line antihypertensive agent with modest adverse effects. These agents are reported to preserve or even increase renal blood flow in the face of reduction in systemic blood pressure [1], suggesting a relatively renal selective action of these agents. Several lines of recent investigations have documented that within the renal vasculature, calcium antagonists cause a preferential dilation of the afferent arteriole, with only modest action on the efferent arteriole [2–4]. These effects are in contrast to those induced by ACE-I and ARB, which are documented to elicit predominant vasodilation of the efferent arteriole, ameliorate glomerular hypertension, and afford renal protection [5, 6]. Thus, it is inferred that whereas the depressor action of the calcium antagonist favors an attenuation of glomerular hypertension and the subsequent renal protection [7–10], the predominant activity of this agent on preglomerular vessels might cause glomerular hypertension that could finally be associated with the progression of renal diseases [11–14]. Unlike the conventional types of calcium antagonists, however, novel types of these agents, including manidipine and efonidipine, are reported to dilate both afferent and efferent arterioles [15–21]. It remains undetermined whether these ostensibly beneficial effects may actually offer renal protective effect and influence the outcome of renal diseases. Thus, it appears of extreme importance to characterize the renal pre- and postglomerular vascular action of the calcium antagonist, from which we could extrapolate the status of the glomerular capillary pressure.

In this review, we survey the effects of a variety of calcium antagonists on the vascular tone of renal afferent and efferent arterioles. Furthermore, the long-term effect of the calcium antagonist on the renal function is also summarized.

### Effect of Calcium Antagonists on Renal Function

The renal microvascular effect of calcium antagonists is greatly influenced by the underlying tone of the renal vasculature. Furthermore, the action of calcium antagonists varies depending on the agents used (table 1) [22–38].

Heller et al. [22] and Dietz et al. [24] reported that when administered into anesthetized dogs, nifedipine caused a greater increase in glomerular filtration rate

### Table 1. Effect of calcium antagonists on renal function

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calcium antagonists</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>BP</th>
<th>RPF</th>
<th>GFR</th>
<th>FF</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nifedipine</td>
<td>dog, i.a.</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>dog, i.a.</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>dog, i.a.</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>→</td>
<td></td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>human, i.v.</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>→</td>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>human (HT), i.v.</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicardipine</td>
<td>dog, i.a.</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>human (HT), i.v.</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrendipine</td>
<td>rat, in vitro</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>(RPP)</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verapamil</td>
<td>dog, i.a.</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>dog, i.a.</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td></td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>dog, i.a.</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>→</td>
<td></td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diltiazem</td>
<td>dog, i.a.</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rat, i.v.</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td></td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>human (HT), p.o.</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td></td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rat, in vitro</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>(RPP)</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amlodipine</td>
<td>rat, in vitro</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>(RPP)</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manidipine</td>
<td>human (HT), i.v.</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td></td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nilvadipine</td>
<td>SHR, i.v.</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>→</td>
<td></td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efonidipine</td>
<td>SHR, i.v.</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>(RPP)</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

i.a. = Intra-renal arterial infusion; i.v. = intravenous; p.o. = oral; BP = blood pressure; RPF = renal plasma flow; GFR = glomerular filtration rate; FF = filtration fraction; HT = hypertension; RPP = renal perfusion pressure; ↑ = increase; ↓ = decrease; → = no change; ? = tend to increase.
(GFR) than that in renal plasma flow (RPF), resulting in elevated filtration fraction. Furthermore, other calcium antagonists including nicardipine [26] and verapamil [24, 30] are reported to increase filtration fraction, suggesting predominant action on the afferent arteriole. In contrast, nicardipine [27] and diltiazem [33] are reported to have no effect on filtration fraction.

In the in vivo settings described above, however, systemic blood pressure was decreased, which may affect the glomerular perfusion pressure. To eliminate the pressure-induced changes in vascular tone, Loutzenhiser et al. [3, 29, 34, 35, 39, 40] used the isolated perfused rat kidney model. This model allows constant renal perfusion pressure, whereby the myogenic tone of renal microvessels is unaltered. In a series of experiments, they found that under angiotensin II- or norepinephrine-induced vasoconstrictor tone, calcium antagonists including nifedipine, nisoldipine, diltiazem, and amlodipine caused greater increases in GFR than those in RPF, resulting in exaggerated increases in filtration fraction. Collectively, these observations again support the formulation that the calcium antagonist acts predominantly on the renal preglomerular vessels.

In contrast, when administered in normotensive subjects, calcium antagonists appear to cause only modest action on renal hemodynamics [25, 28]. These observed results may bear on the issue that calcium antagonists inhibit the calcium channels activated by vasoconstrictor stimuli, but have little effect on unstimulated vessels.

Although a large amount of investigations suggest that calcium antagonists cause predominant action on preglomerular vessels, a growing body of evidence has been accumulated demonstrating that certain types of these agents may affect postglomerular as well as preglomerular vessels (table 1). Takabatake et al. [36] found that the intravenous administration of manidipine caused a greater increase in RPF than that in GFR in spontaneously hypertensive rats (SHR), resulting in decreased filtration fraction. Furthermore, nilvadipine is reported to increase RPF without any changes in GFR in humans [41]. Finally, Yokoyama et al. [38] reported that efonidipine potently increased RPF more markedly than GFR, causing a decrease in filtration fraction. Collectively, the observations obtained in vivo strongly suggest that these calcium antagonists decrease efferent arteriolar resistance.

Direct in vivo and in vitro Observations of the Renal Microcirculation

The predominant influence of conventional types of calcium antagonists on GFR suggests that these agents antagonize preglomerular vasoconstriction. Indeed, Kimura et al. [42] suggested that nicardipine elicited preferential reduction in afferent arteriolar resistance in hypertensive patients, using renal function curves. Recent development of renal physiology enables us to observe renal microvessels more directly [43–50]. These include (a) micropuncture technique; (b) isolated renal microvessels; (c) blood perfused juxtamedullary nephrons; (d) in vivo hydronephrotic kidneys; (e) isolated perfused hydronephrotic kidneys; and (f) intravital pencil-type CCD videomicroscopic technique.

Direct visualization of the renal microcirculation more substantively supports a preferential action of the calcium antagonist on the afferent arteriole. Casellas and Navar [47] developed an in vitro technique that allows direct visualization of the juxtamedullary nephron circulation. In their experiments, both verapamil and diltiazem potently inhibited the afferent arteriolar vasoconstriction, whereas efferent arterioles were relatively refractory to the vasodilator action of these agents [2]. Similarly, Ito et al. [45, 46] succeeded in isolation of the renal afferent and efferent arterioles, with an attached glomerulus and a thick ascending limb of Henle loop. Again, nifedipine predominantly dilated the afferent arteriole [21]. Fleming et al. [4] also demonstrated that nifedipine caused predominant afferent arteriolar dilation in the hydronephrotic kidney model in vivo.

Loutzenhiser and Epstein developed a model of the isolated perfused hydronephrotic kidney that facilitates direct observation of the renal microvasculature under defined in vitro conditions [3, 8, 9, 15–18, 20, 49, 51]. This experimental model allows constant renal perfusion pressure, thereby facilitating more direct observation on the changes in non-myogenic vascular tone. Using this model, we demonstrated that not only dihydropyridine-class (e.g. nifedipine, nicardipine, and amlodipine), but also benzothiazepine-class (e.g. diltiazem) calcium antagonists caused predominant vasodilation of the afferent arteriole, with only modest action on the efferent arteriole [15] (fig. 1). This vasoactive pattern, i.e. preferential relaxation of the afferent arteriole, was also observed during norepinephrine-induced vasoconstriction [15]. Thus, angiotensin II-induced constriction of the afferent arteriole is dose-dependently reversed not only by dihydropyridine calcium antagonists (i.e. nifedipine, nicardipine, and amlodipine) but also by a benzothiazepine-class antagonist (i.e. diltiazem), whereas the efferent arteriole is refractory to the vasodilator effects of these antagonists.
Fig. 1. Effects of various calcium antagonists on angiotensin II-induced constriction of renal microvessels. Both dihydropyridine-type calcium antagonists (nifedipine, nicardipine, and amlodipine) and non-dihydropyridine-type calcium antagonists (diltiazem) reversed the afferent arteriolar constriction. In contrast, efferent arteriolar constriction was relatively refractory to these calcium antagonists. *p < 0.05 vs. afferent arterioles. From Hayashi et al. [15].

Segmental Heterogeneity in Localization of Calcium Channels

The selective action of the conventional types of calcium antagonists could be explained by the heterogeneity in the distribution of voltage-dependent calcium channels within the renal microvasculature. It has been demonstrated that voltage-dependent calcium channels functionally prevail at the afferent arteriole [2, 4, 51–54]. High K-induced membrane depolarization selectively constricts the afferent arteriole, whereas the efferent arteriole is relatively insensitive to the depolarization [51, 53]. Furthermore, a calcium channel agonist (e.g. Bay K-8644), which directly activates voltage-dependent calcium channels, causes preferential afferent arteriolar constriction [54].

Carmines et al. [53] conducted an elegant study by directly assessing the intracellular calcium concentration ([Ca^{2+}]) of isolated rabbit glomeruli with attached afferent and efferent arterioles. They demonstrated that high K-induced depolarization elevated the [Ca^{2+}], of the afferent arteriole from 150 ± 11 to 196 ± 12 nmol/l, whereas [Ca^{2+}], of the efferent arteriole was reduced from 188 ± 17 to 148 ± 13 nmol/l, probably because of a decrease in electrochemical gradient driving force of Ca^{2+} leak into cells. They also demonstrated that the inhibition of voltage-dependent calcium channels by nifedipine completely prevented the high K-induced rise in [Ca^{2+}].

Very recently, Hansen et al. [55] have demonstrated a selective expression of the mRNA encoding Ca_{1,2} L-type calcium channel subunits in rabbit afferent arterioles. In contrast, no subunit is found in cortical efferent arterioles, although these channel subunits are expressed at juxtaglomerular efferent arterioles.

In summary, from observations obtained under direct and indirect activation of voltage-dependent calcium channels, it is reasonable to conclude that voltage-dependent calcium channels predominate at the afferent arteriole. In contrast, these channels are sparse or functionally silent at the efferent arteriole. Such functional heterogeneity of the renal microvasculature greatly influences the actions of calcium antagonists on renal hemodynamics.

Direct Evidence for Efferent Arteriolar Dilation by Novel Calcium Antagonists

In contrast to the predominant action on the afferent arterioles of conventional types of calcium antagonists, the observation described above suggests a substantial role of efferent arteriolar dilation in mediating reduced filtration fraction by manidipine, nilvadipine, and efonidipine (table 1). Furthermore, the assessment of renal arteriolar resistance with the use of renal function curves indicates an efferent arteriolar dilation by bendipine in human nondiabetic nephropathy [56]. Very recently, a growing body of direct evidence for efferent arteriolar action of certain types of calcium antagonists has been accumulated.

Tojo et al. [19] have reported that manidipine elicits both afferent and efferent arteriolar dilation in the in vivo hydropnephrotic kidney models, although the magnitude of the efferent arteriolar dilation is still less than that of the afferent arteriolar dilation. Using the microdissected renal arterioles, Arima et al. [21] also reported that manidipine caused efferent as well as afferent arteriolar dilation. Furthermore, Zhou et al. [57] showed decreases in both afferent and efferent arteriolar resistance by cilnidipine in nitro-L-arginine methylester-treated SHR. Finally, Takabatake et al. [36] reported that in the rat micropuncture study, efonidipine reduces both pre- and postglomerular capillary resistance.
Although these observations suggest divergent action of calcium antagonists on the efferent arteriole, there remains the possibility that different experimental conditions may affect the renal microvascular responsiveness to these agents. Nevertheless, we have demonstrated that several calcium antagonists including manidipine, nilvadipine, benidipine, and efonidipine, cause substantial dilation of efferent arterioles in the isolated perfused rat hydronephrotic kidney (fig. 2) [15, 16–18]. In contrast, in the same preparation, both dihydropyridine-class (nifedipine, nicardipine, and amlodipine) and non-dihydropyridine-class calcium antagonists (diltiazem) cause predominant afferent arteriolar dilation (fig. 1) [15]. Thus, our observations militate against the possibility that differing renal arteriolar action of calcium antagonists depends on the experimental settings used, and would indicate the heterogeneity in the action of calcium antagonists on the efferent arteriole. Since these traditional calcium antagonists act on L-type voltage-dependent calcium channels and these channels prevail predominantly at the afferent arteriole [51–55], the effects on the efferent arteriole by these calcium antagonists are most likely attributed to additional actions of these antagonists, but not due to the class effects of these agents.

There exists some possibility that the above observations obtained from the isolated perfused hydronephrotic kidney model might be distorted because of the nature of its experimental setting. To circumvent this possibility, we have further extended our premise, with the use of intravital pencil-lens CCD camera technique [50, 58–62] (fig. 3). This experimental technique is unique because in vivo, in situ, and relatively intact renal microcirculation is accessible by simply introducing the pencil-lens probe into the renal cortex, without disrupting the renal microvascular responsiveness to tubuloglomerular feedback mechanism or angiotensin II [50]. Using this experimental technique, we confirmed heterogeneity in the action of calcium antagonists on the renal microcirculation. Thus, filtration fraction was elevated with nifedipine, tended to decrease with efonidipine, and reduced with mibebradil (fig. 4) [63]. Furthermore, these observations precisely coincide with the renal arteriolar responsiveness to these antagonists in this experimental setting (fig. 4) [61], and again strongly support our formulation that the effects of calcium antagonists vary depending on the types of the antagonists used.

In concert, both in vivo and in vitro studies indicate the heterogeneity in the renal microvascular action of the calcium antagonist. Based on the ratio of efferent to afferent arteriolar action, we propose that calcium antagonists are categorized into three groups: (1) group I, acting preferentially on the afferent arteriole (nifedipine, nicardipine, amiodipine, diltiazem, and pranidipine); (2) group II, dilating both afferent and efferent arterioles, but the effect on the efferent arteriole is less than that on the afferent arteriole (manidipine, benidipine, and nilvadipine); (3) group III, which dilates efferent as well as afferent arterioles in almost the same magnitude (efonidipine) [64]. This classification would offer helpful information in assessing the glomerular capillary pressure.

Of interest, lercanidipine, recently marketed in Europe, is potent in inhibiting afferent but not efferent arterioles. In contrast to the acute renal microvascular action of lercanidipine, Sabbatini et al. [65] demonstrate the histological dilatation of efferent as well as afferent arteriolar lumen by 12-week treatment with lercanidipine in spontaneously hypertensive rats. Thus, the renal microvascular effect of the long-term treatment with calcium antagonists may alter the structural changes in renal microvascular beds.
Mechanism for Efferent Arteriolar Vasodilation

As described, several calcium antagonists elicit efferent as well as afferent arteriolar dilation [15–21]. The mechanisms for the efferent arteriolar vasodilation, however, remain undetermined. We therefore examined the role of nitric oxide and vasodilatory prostaglandins in mediating the efonidipine-induced efferent arteriolar dilation. Neither nitro-L-arginine methylester nor indomethacin, however, had any effect on efonidipine-induced efferent arteriolar vasodilation [66]. Similarly, our laboratory [15] and Arima et al. [21] have indicated that manidipine causes efferent arteriolar dilation, with nearly the same magnitude as that induced by nilvadipine. Of interest, Arima et al. [21] suggested that a part of manidipine-induced efferent arteriolar dilation was mediated by glomerulus-derived vasodilators other than nitric oxide.

A recent pharmacological study has witnessed that efonidipine possesses the blocking activity on T-type, as well as L-type, voltage-dependent calcium channels [67]. Although T-type calcium channels are closely associated with pacemaking potentials [68], the role of these calcium channel subtypes in the vasculature remains poorly understood. In several microvasculature including mesenteric [69] and cremaster arterioles [70], T-type calcium channels are distributed substantially, and the blockade of these channels by a selective T-type calcium channel blocker, mibefradil, inhibits the vasoconstriction of these arterioles. In the renal microvasculature, Hansen et al. [55] have demonstrated that T-type calcium channels prevail at juxtamedullary efferent arterioles, as well as afferent arterioles of superficial and juxtamedullary nephrons. Furthermore, in a preliminary report, Ono’s group has recently shown the presence of a Ca,3.1 subunit (an α₁ subunit of T-type calcium channels) at superficial efferent arterioles, with the use of in situ hybridization (a personal communication). In accordance with the distribution of T-type calcium channels, Nakamura et al. [71] found that mibefradil decreased both afferent and efferent arteriolar resistance in SHR kidneys, using the micropuncture technique. Recently, Ozawa et al. [18] have directly visualized an efferent arteriolar dilation by some calcium antagonists which possess the blocking...
**Fig. 4.** Effects of various calcium antagonists on in vivo renal microvessels and renal hemodynamics. Predominant afferent arteriolar action of nifedipine and potent dilation by efonidipine and mibefradil closely parallel the changes in filtration fraction. *p < 0.05 vs. baseline; †p = 0.05 vs. baseline; ‡p < 0.05 vs. afferent arterioles; §p < 0.05 vs. nifedipine. Adopted with modification from Honda et al. [63].

**Fig. 5.** Divergent vasodilator action of calcium antagonists on efferent arterioles. Based on the relative activity on efferent vs. afferent arterioles, calcium antagonists are classified into 3 groups. The first group of calcium antagonists (e.g. nifedipine) elicits predominant vasodilation of afferent arterioles, with modest action on efferent arterioles. The second group (e.g. nilvadipine) produces both afferent and efferent arteriolar vasodilation, although the efferent arteriolar dilation is less than that on the afferent arteriole. The third group of calcium antagonists (e.g. efonidipine) potently relaxes both afferent and efferent arterioles, with nearly the same activity on these vessels. *p < 0.05 vs. baseline. ? = Not examined. From Hayashi et al. [64].
activity on T-type calcium channels. Both mibefradil and nickel chloride potently reverse the angiotensin II-induced constriction of the efferent arteriole in the isolated perfused rat hydronephrotic kidney model (fig. 6). Furthermore, the intravital pencil-type CCD camera technique reveals that efonidipine and mibefradil provoke efferent as well as afferent arteriolar dilation in dog kidneys [61] (fig. 4). Finally, nilvadipine and arandipine, both of which are reported to possess T-type as well as L-type calcium channel-blocking activity [72, 73], share the same properties with efonidipine with regard to the efferent arteriole action. These novel findings therefore suggest a critical role of T-type calcium channels in mediating the efferent arteriolar tone.

The mechanism whereby T-type calcium channel activity modifies the intracellular vasoconstrictor signaling pathway and thus dilates efferent arterioles remains undetermined. It is established that angiotensin II-induced vasoconstriction is mediated by two main intracellular signaling pathways, protein kinase C (PKC) and inositol-1,4,5-trisphosphate (IP3)-induced intracellular calcium release [74, 75]. In the efferent arteriole, we have recently demonstrated that during the angiotensin II stimulation, both PKC- and IP3-associated vasoconstrictor mechanisms are activated in an additive manner [74, 75]. In this regard, mibefradil is reported to inhibit the PKC-mediated signaling pathway and prevent the vascular smooth muscle contraction in the vascular smooth muscle cell [76]. Furthermore, Sipido et al. [77] reported that T-type channel activation facilitated Ca2+ release from sarcoplasmic reticulum in cardiac myocytes. We therefore examined the interaction between these intracellular mechanisms and mibefradil-induced vasodilation [78]. Thus, the PKC stimulation is relatively refractory to the vasodilator action of mibefradil (fig. 7). In the presence of thapsigargin, whereby the administration of ANG II should stimulate the PKC-mediated vasoconstrictor pathway dominantly [74, 75], mibefradil had only modest effect on the efferent arteriolar constriction. Indeed, mibefradil was less potent in reversing the phorbol myristate acetate (PMA)-induced constriction of the efferent arteriole, whereas LOE908, a nonselective cation channel blocker [79], nearly completely inhibited this response. In contrast, in the presence of staurosporine, the ANG II-induced vasoconstriction of efferent arterioles is highly sensitive to the vasodilator action of mibefradil (fig. 7). Since staurosporine prevents the PKC-mediated constrictor mechanism, the major remaining vasoconstrictor mechanism of ANG II should be an IP3-mediated Ca release pathway [74, 75]. In concert, these observations

Fig. 6. Effects of T-type Ca channel blockade on angiotensin II-induced constriction of renal arterioles. Both mibefradil and NiCl2 elicited dose-dependent dilation of renal microvessels, similar in magnitude in afferent (circles) and efferent arterioles (squares; *p < 0.05 vs. angiotensin II; † p < 0.05; upper). In contrast, nifedipine caused modest dilation of efferent arterioles, whereas dilating afferent arterioles prominently. The subsequent addition of mibefradil elicited further dilation of efferent arterioles (*p < 0.05 vs. angiotensin II; † p < 0.05 vs. nifedipine; lower). From Ozawa et al. [18].
are consistent with the view that the IP3-mediated pathway constitutes an important target for the action of mibefradil during the ANG II-induced arteriolar constriction.

Although the link between T-type calcium channels and sarcoplasmic Ca release remains undetermined, recent investigations suggest an intimate interaction between the plasma membrane and the endoplasmic reticulum, which is proposed as a conformational coupling model [80]. Thus, T-type Ca channels within the plasma membrane may communicate with the sarcoplasmic Ca regulation. Indeed, T-type channel activation is reported to facilitate Ca\(^{2+}\) release from sarcoplasmic reticulum in cardiac myocytes [77]. Further studies are required to determine how T-type Ca channels interact with this constrictor mechanism.

Additional mechanisms for the efferent arteriolar dilation by recently-developed calcium antagonists merit comment. It has been reported that T-type calcium channel activation stimulates renin release. Wagner et al. [81] have demonstrated that mibefradil suppresses renin release. This observation raises the possibility that T-type calcium channel blockade inhibits the angiotensin II production, and therefore would be anticipated to contribute in part to the efferent arteriolar vasodilation (table 2). Furthermore, cilnidipine, a novel calcium antagonist possessing both L- and N-type calcium channel blocking activity, has been developed, and is available in a clinical setting in Japan [82]. This antagonist is unique because of its pharmacological characteristics, i.e. the inhibitory action on neural stimulation-mediated norepinephrine secretion [83]. Using this antagonist, Zhou et al. [57] have demonstrated decreases in both afferent and efferent arteriolar resistance in nitro-L-arginine methylester-treated SHR. Since the sympathetic nerve activity, which causes constriction of both afferent and efferent arterioles, is reported to be enhanced in this model [84], the inhibition of N-type Ca channels by cilnidipine would dilate both arterioles in this setting. Similarly, amlodipine is demonstrated to possess the inhibitory action on both L-type and N-type Ca channels [85]. Although amlodipine predominantly dilates the afferent arteriole in the isolated perfused hydrenephrotic kidney [15], this antagonist is also reported to cause a substantial dilation of efferent, as well as afferent, arterioles in the in vivo hydrenephrotic kidney [86]. Although these discrepant observations may be
attributed to the difference in the experimental settings, further investigations are required to clarify this issue.

**Role of Calcium Antagonists in the Progression of Renal Injury in Animals**

The glomerular hemodynamic effects of traditional calcium antagonists suggest that these antagonists fail to correct glomerular hypertension in certain experimental conditions [87–89]. The long-term effect of the calcium antagonist on injured kidney is conflicting. Since the net effect of calcium antagonists on glomerular hemodynamics is determined by the balance between the reduction in afferent arteriolar resistance and the fall in systemic blood pressure, and the changes in these two factors may vary depending on the experimental settings, magnitude of depressor activity, or types of calcium antagonists used. Alternatively, although calcium antagonists inhibit the pressure-induced (i.e., myogenic) tone [90, 91], the differing degrees of impairment of renal autoregulation by calcium antagonists may affect the development of glomerulosclerosis [13, 14, 92]. Thus, verapamil is reported to reduce proteinuria and protect against renal injury in remnant kidney models [93, 94]. Furthermore, Takakura et al. [37] indicated that nilvadipine profoundly improved renal histological changes as well as renal function, despite only a modest reduction in blood pressure in stroke-prone SHR. Furthermore, Shudo et al. [99–101] reported that efonidipine acutely decreased proteinuria in spontaneously hypertensive rats, whereas systemic blood pressure was only partially reduced. Additionally, Fujimaki et al. [102] found that manidipine exerted salutary action on renal structure in uninephrectomized spontaneously hypertensive rats. Finally, it has recently been reported that mibefradil potently prevents the development of renal injury in SHR [71] and DOCA hypertensive rats [103]. In contrast, in the remnant kidney model, mibefradil is demonstrated to impair renal autoregulation and accelerate the progression of glomerulosclerosis [104]. The inconsis-

### Table 2. Possible mechanisms for renal protective action of calcium antagonists

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ca channel inhibition</th>
<th>Renin-angiotensin inhibition</th>
<th>Renal vasodilator action</th>
<th>Systemic depressor action</th>
<th>Glomerular capillary pressure</th>
<th>Renal protective action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L-type Ca channels</td>
<td>Ca antagonists</td>
<td>L+T-type Ca channels</td>
<td>ACE inhibitors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nifedipine</td>
<td>mibefradil</td>
<td>efonidipine</td>
<td>nilvadipine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>amlodipine</td>
<td>mibefradil</td>
<td>nilvadipine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nicardipine</td>
<td>mibefradil</td>
<td>hibefradil</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ca = Calcium; ACE = angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors; AFF = afferent arterioles; EFF = efferent arterioles.
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Fig. 8. Effects of 12-week treatment with various calcium antagonists on systolic blood pressure and urinary protein excretion in subtotally nephrectomized spontaneously hypertensive rats. * p < 0.05 vs. control.

tent efficacy of mibefradil might be attributable to different roles of T-type calcium channels in the efferent arteriole of these experimental animals.

Our previous studies demonstrated that 8-week-treatment with calcium antagonists, including nicardipine [105], amlopidine [106], efonidipine [107], pranidipine [108], lercanidipine [64] and aranidipine [20] prevent the progression of renal injury in subtotally nephrectomized SHR. Thus, these calcium antagonists exert marked reductions in systemic blood pressure (fig. 8). Furthermore, the histopathological changes and serum creatinine levels were also ameliorated. Despite the amelioration by these agents, the effects of these agents on proteinuria differ. Thus, nifedipine tended to decrease urinary protein excretion, and other calcium antagonists, including lercanidipine, pranidipine and efonidipine, significantly reduced proteinuria (fig. 8). Of note, among the calcium antagonists examined, efonidipine most prominently decreased urinary protein excretion; it reduced proteinuria to the same level as enalapril, which causes both afferent and efferent arteriolar dilation. Thus, the renal protective effect of efonidipine may be associated with the glomerular hemodynamic action of this agent, since efonidipine is anticipated to reduce glomerular capillary pressure, as well as enalapril. In concert with acute proteinuria-reducing effect, chronic effects of efonidipine on proteinuria suggest a beneficial effect of this calcium antagonist on glomerular capillary pressure, and this could be mediated by renal hemodynamic effect on the efferent arteriole. Although obviously the beneficial effects of calcium antagonists are not totally ascribed to glomerular hemodynamic action, the proteinuria-reducing effect of efonidipine would suggest an important role of glomerular hemodynamic factors, i.e. efferent arteriolar dilation, in blunting the progression of renal disorders. Caveat is in order, however, since preferential afferent arteriolar dilation following subtotal nephrectomy [109] may influence the action of calcium antagonists. Thus, the ability of afferent arteriole-selective calcium antagonists to ameliorate glomerular hypertension may depend largely on whether systemic hypertension is corrected in this experimental setting. In contrast, the efferent arteriole-acting calcium antagonist as well as enalapril would predispose glomerular capillary pressure to decline in addition to hypertensive action. Consequently, the contrasting effects of these anti-hypertensive agents on proteinuria may be unique in this experimental model.

As stated above, multiple mechanisms appear to contribute to the ability of the calcium antagonist to protect the kidney. Besides glomerular hemodynamic factors, the calcium antagonist has been demonstrated that attenuates mesangial entrapment of macromolecules [110, 111], and suggested to counteract the mitogenic effect of platelet-activating factor [110]. Similarly, calcium antagonists suppress mesangial cell proliferation by inhibiting activator protein-1 (AP-1) [112] as well as the cell cycle transition from the G1 to S phase [113], and modulate gene transcriptions that are involved in proinflammatory changes (interleukin-1β and granulocyte/monocyte colony-stimulating factors) [114]. In this regard, calcium antagonists have been shown to suppress the PMA-induced activation of nuclear factor kappa B (NF-κB) in cultured human mesangial cells, this action is the most prominent with efonidipine [115].
Finally, calcium antagonists could act as free radical scavengers [116, 117].

Effect of Efonidipine on the Progression of Renal Injury in Human Renal Disease

Although the formulation that the efferent arteriolar dilation constitutes a determinant of the renal protective action of the antihypertensive agents is well established with the use of ACE inhibitors in both experimental animals and humans, it remains undetermined whether the T-type calcium channel inhibition at the efferent arteriole contributes to the renal protection in human chronic renal disease. We therefore compared the effect of efonidipine on the progression of renal disease with that of ACE inhibitors [118]. Thus, 12 month-treatment with efonidipine in patients with non-diabetic renal disease elicited nearly the same magnitude of the reduction in proteinuria with that of ACE inhibitors (fig. 9). In contrast, efonidipine provoked less adverse effects such as non-productive cough and hyperkalemia. Of note, in patients in which mean systemic blood pressure did not achieve a level below 100 mm Hg, proteinuria was significantly decreased (fig. 10). This observation suggests a renal protective action of efonidipine independent of the level of systemic blood pressure.
pressure, and may be associated with intrarenal action of this agent, including efferent arteriolar dilation. It should be stated, however, that whereas amlodipine is detrimental in the progression of renal disease in hypertensive nephrosclerosis [119], amlodipine is also demonstrated to confer more substantial renal protective action than diuretics or ACE inhibitors when the systemic blood pressure is reduced to an optimal level [120]. Thus, the ability of the calcium antagonists that possess predominant activity on afferent arterioles may vary depending on the level of blood pressure achieved (table 2).

In conclusion, in both experimental and human renal disease, the calcium antagonists with the dilatory activity on efferent arterioles would confer beneficial action on glomerular capillary pressure. Furthermore, it appears that this action on glomerular microcirculation is anticipated to retard the progression of renal injury.

Conclusion

Previous investigations demonstrated that calcium antagonists caused preferential dilation of the afferent arteriole. In contrast, recently several calcium antagonists, acting uniquely on the renal microcirculation, have been developed, with the property of vasodilator action on both afferent and efferent arterioles. The present review extends our previous observations, and further allows characterization of the calcium antagonist with respect to the renal microvascular effect. Based on the heterogeneity in the renal microvascular action of the calcium antagonist, we propose a new classification of this agent. This categorization may offer helpful information in assessing the glomerular capillary pressure in the treatment of hypertension.
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