Molecular Characterization of Nitroimidazole Resistance in Metronidazole-Resistant Bacteroides Species Isolated from Hospital Patients in Kuwait
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Abstract

Objectives: The aim of this study was to screen for infections caused by metronidazole (MTZ)-resistant Bacteroides spp., and to characterize the genes that encode the MTZ resistance. Materials and Methods: A total of 7 MTZ-resistant Bacteroides spp. were isolated from 5 patients with MTZ-resistant infections. These organisms were investigated for carriage of genes that encode MTZ resistance. The presence of these genes was investigated by PCR and the PCR products were subjected to PCR-RFLP analysis. Results: The strains were MTZ-resistant with minimum inhibitory concentrations of >32 μg/ml. The presence of nim genes was indicated by PCR in all 7 strains. PCR-RFLP analysis of the nim gene products demonstrated two of the five reported resistance genes, nimA-nimE. These two resistance genes were nimE in 5 of the 7 isolates and nimA in 2 strains. Conclusion: MTZ-resistant Bacteroides spp. have been isolated from patients in Kuwait. Nim genes, specifically nimE and nimA, mediate the drug resistance in these isolates. The methods used in detecting these genes are rapid, accurate and relatively inexpensive and could be adopted easily to help in monitoring emergence of MTZ resistance determinants in Kuwait.

Introduction

Bacteroides spp. are gram-negative non-sporing anaerobic bacilli that form part of the normal human colonic microflora. The species most often isolated from faecal flora are Bacteroides vulgatus, Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron, Bacteroides distasonis and, to a lesser extent, Bacteroides fragilis and Bacteroides ovatus [1, 2]. These organisms cause opportunistic infections in a wide range of sites such as intra-abdominal infections, perirectal abscess, blood and soft tissue infections [3–5]. Over the last three decades, metronidazole (MTZ) has remained the main therapy of choice and it has been used extensively in prophylaxis and therapy of infections due to Bacteroides spp., because Bacteroides have been predictably sensitive, and because strains of this genus are often resistant to the main clinically relevant antibiotics, such as β-lactam antibiotics, tetracycline or macrolides-lincosamides-streptoto-
gramins [6]. In addition, other groups of anaerobes that are involved in the above infection sites are usually susceptible to MTZ.

However, despite the global use of MTZ, only sporadic case reports of MTZ-resistant Bacteroides spp. have appeared since 1978 [7–12]. These reports underscore the fact that laboratories which rely solely upon MTZ sensitivity to identify the presence of anaerobe in primary cultures from clinical specimens must be vigilant [13], because of the possibility of underreporting MTZ-resistant anaerobes. Detection of 5-nitroimidazole-resistant strains by conventional disc susceptibility testing methods requires conditions of strict anaerobiosis because of pseudoresistance in the presence of even low levels of oxygen [14–16], which may lead to reporting of false MTZ-resistant strains.

A review by Reysset [17], on the genetics of 5-nitroimidazole resistance in Bacteroides spp., has highlighted a number of possible MTZ resistance mechanisms. However, mounting evidence shows that some MTZ-resistant strains possess specific nitroimidazole-resistant genes, nim genes, which have been characterized as nimA–nimE [17–20]. These genes are believed to encode a nitroimidazole reductase that converts 4- or 5-nitroimidazole to 4- or 5-aminooimidazole, thus avoiding the formation of toxic nitroso radicals that are essential for antimicrobial activity [21].

The aim of this study was to isolate MTZ-resistant Bacteroides spp. from hospitalized patients in Kuwait and to characterize the resistance determinants in these strains.

Materials and Methods

Patients

Case 1

A 46-year-old Pakistani driver was admitted to hospital because of colicky abdominal pain of 2 days’ duration that was localized to the right iliac fossa (RIF), together with nausea and rebound tenderness over the RIF. Haematological investigation, he was in pain, febrile (38.4°C), and had guarding and rebound tenderness over the RIF. The abdomen was tender and taut on percussion with rebound tenderness over the RIF. Blood culture was negative and the stool culture yielded no known enteric pathogen. However, culture of the peritoneal swab yielded a mixed growth of (i) microaerophilic streptococci, (ii) o-haemolytic streptococci, and (iii) B. fragilis resistant to MTZ and clindamycin, but sensitive to cefoxitin and piperacillin. MTZ was removed from the antibiotic regimen and meropenem was substituted. Subsequently he made good recovery and was discharged home 15 days after admission. The patient denied taking MTZ prior to admission to the hospital.

Case 2

A 33-year-old Indian housemaid was admitted to hospital with the complaints of right lower abdominal pain of 10 days’ duration, nausea, vomiting and diarrhoea of 1 day duration. On examination, she was found to be underweight (40 kg), dehydrated and obviously ill. She was febrile (temperature, 38.3°C). The abdomen was tender and taut on percussion with rebound tenderness over the RIF. Bowel sounds were reduced but no mass was felt. Erect abdominal X-ray showed fluid level at the right lower part of the bowel. All other systems were normal. Initial haematological investigations revealed leucocytosis (WBC count of 22,400/mm³), with mild left shift and band formation), anaemia (Hb of 7.2 g/dl with hypochromic, normocytic anaemia) and high platelet count (579,000/mm³). She was transfused with units of blood and 2 days later a salpingo-oophorectomy was performed. During manipulation of the mass, the sigmoid colon was inadvertently perforated and was repaired during the operation. Tissue was sent for histopathological examination.

Postoperatively, she was placed on a ceftriaxone, i.v., 1 g once daily (o.d.) and MTZ, i.v., 500 mg every 8 h, regimen for 7 days. Recovery was stormy but she was able to open her bowel and took oral fluids after 5 days on i.v. fluids.

Histopathology of the tissue revealed tuberculous salpingo-oophoritis. Her antibiotics were stopped and she was started on four antitubercular drugs, isoniazid 200 mg, o.d., rifampicin 450 mg o.d., ethambutol 1.125 g o.d. and pyrazinamide, 40 mg o.d. She made good progress and was discharged home 18 days after admission.

However, 22 days after discharge, she was readmitted because of painful swelling of 3 days’ duration in the abdominal wall, in the RIF, which increased with time. It was not associated with fever, vomiting or change in the bowel motion.

She was started on a cocktail of antibiotics including ceftriaxone, i.v., 1 g o.d. for 9 days, MTZ, i.v., 500 mg every 8 h for 9 days and amikacin 500 mg every 12 h for 7 days, in addition to her antitubercular drugs. Ultrasound and CT scan of the abdomen revealed multiple small foci of pus collection in the abdominal wall and RIF. The abscess was incised and drained and a sample of pus sent to the microbiology laboratory. Culture of the pus yielded a mixed growth of (i) Escherichia coli, (ii) Enterococcus spp., and (iii) B. fragilis resistant to MTZ. The therapy was then changed to ampicillin, 500 mg every 6 h and meropenem, 1 g every 8 h. After 26 days in the hospital the patient was discharged home with a follow-up appointment at the surgical outpatient and TB clinic. However, she did not appear at the follow-up.

Cases 3, 4 and 5

These patients were from Mubarak Al-Kabeer Teaching Hospital, Kuwait as reported previously by Rotimi et al. [12]. They were the first documented cases of infection caused by MTZ-resistant Bacteroides spp. in Kuwait.
Bacterial Strains

A total of 7 MTZ-resistant Bacteroides isolates from these 5 patients were used in this study for the characterization of resistance determinants. These isolates comprised 4 B. fragilis (designated 957 from case 1, 961 from case 2, 329 from case 3, and 338a from case 4), 1 B. distasonis (457a from case 5), 1 B. ovatus (338b from case 4) and 1 B. thetaiotaomicron (457b from case 5). Isolates were cultured on fastidious anaerobe agar (FAA; Lab M, Bury, UK) supplemented with 5% (vol/vol) horse blood in an anaerobic atmosphere (10% CO₂, 10% H₂ in 80% N₂) at 37°C. All strains were identified to species level by conventional tests and API 20A (bioMérieux, France) in the Anaerobe Laboratory, Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine/Mubarak Al-Kabeer Hospital, Kuwait. Five positive control strains containing nim genes, B. fragilis BF8 (nimD), B. fragilis 638R containing plasmid pIP417 (nimA), B. fragilis 638R containing plasmid pIP419 (nimC), B. fragilis 638R containing plasmid pIP421 (nimD) and B. fragilis ARU 6881 (nimE), were obtained from the PHLS Anaerobe Reference Unit, University Hospital of Wales, Cardiff, UK.

Susceptibility Testing

All the Bacteroides isolates exhibited no zone to a 5-μg MTZ disc. The quantitative minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of MTZ was determined by E-test strips (AB Biodisk, Solna, Sweden) on FAA, according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Nim Gene PCR

The presence of nim genes in the isolates which exhibited resistance to MTZ was assessed by PCR, using a method described previously [20] with minor modifications. Briefly, a single colony, harvested after culture for 18 h on FAA, was suspended in 100 μl of 5% Chelex 100 (BioRad Laboratories, USA) and heated for 12 min at 90°C. The cell suspension was centrifuged for 10 min at 17,000 g to remove the cell debris. The supernatant was used as DNA template.

The nim genes were amplified with the primers NIM-3 (5'-ATG TTC AGA GAA ATG CGG CTG AAG CG-3') and NIM-5 (5'-GCT TCC TTT GCT GTC ATG TTC TC-3').

DNA amplification was performed in 50 μl of reaction mixture containing 200 μM of each deoxynucleoside triphosphate, 1 μM of each primer, 5 μl PCR buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, 50 mM KCl, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.1% Triton X-100, 0.2 mg bovine serum albumin or gelatin/ml, pH 9), 35.8 μl distilled water, 0.2 μl of Taq polymerase (Promega, Madison, Wisc., USA) and 5 μl of DNA sample. A water blank with no template was included in the run as a negative control. The target DNA was amplified in a thermal cycler (model Crocodile III; AppliGene Oncor). After an initial denaturation step at 95°C for 3 min, the reaction mixtures were subjected to 30 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 45 s, annealing at 52°C for 60 s, extension at 72°C for 90 s and a final extension step at 72°C for 10 min.

PCR products were resolved by electrophoresis on 1% agarose gel run in 1x TAE (0.04 M Tris-acetate buffer, 1 mM EDTA, pH 8) at 60 mA for 45 min with a molecular weight standard (100 bp; Advanced Biotecnologies, Epsom, UK). The gel was stained with ethidium bromide (0.5 μg/ml) and PCR products were visualized by UV light.

Restriction Enzyme Digests of nim Gene PCR Products

The method of Stubbs et al. [20] was followed for restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) analysis. The amplification products from nim gene PCR were treated with the restriction endonucleases HpaII and TaqI (Promega), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Digestion products were resolved in Metaphor agarose 3% (w/v, FMC Bioproducts) and run at 70 mA, 200 V in TAE for 3 h. The gel was stained with ethidium bromide (0.5 μg/ml) and products visualized with UV light. The bands were compared with those from 5 positive controls that contained the five different nim genes, A–E.

Results

Conventional Identification

The 7 strains were all anaerobic gram-negative bacilli, non-sporing and non-motile. Biochemical and fermentation reactions by these strains enabled identification to species level. The B. fragilis strains typically were indole-negative, fermented melibiose, sucrose and glycogen but not arabinose, rhamnose, salicin, trehalose and xylan, and were α-fucosidase-positive. The B. distasonis strain was indole-negative and α-fucosidase-negative but fermented all the sugars except xylan whereas the B. ovatus strain was indole-positive, fermented all the sugars and was α-fucosidase-positive. B. thetaiotaomicron was identified by being indole- and α-fucosidase-positive, and fermenting all sugars except xylan. API 20A accurately identified all the strains to species level with 99.9% agreement.

Nitroimidazole Resistance

All the 7 clinical strains were resistant to MTZ by the disc diffusion test and by MIC determination with values >32 μg/ml. MTZ MIC values for B. fragilis control strains were: BF-8 >32 μg/ml, 638R (pIP417) 24 μg/ml, 638R (pIP419) 6 μg/ml, 638R (pIP421) 16 μg/ml and ARU 6881 >32 μg/ml. The MTZ MIC value for the B. fragilis-sensitive control strain was 0.13 μg/ml. As demonstrated in figure 1, all the 5 control strains containing the different nim genes gave visible PCR products (lanes 2–6) and the 7 clinical isolates also gave visible PCR products with the primers Nim-3 and Nim-5 (lanes 7–13), whereas a water blank did not (lane 14).

The 5 different nim gene PCR products from the control strains produced unique digestion profiles with HpaII and TaqI (fig. 2a, b), shown in lanes 2–6. PCR products from nim genes in the 7 clinical isolates (lanes 8–14) were identified by comparing the digestion patterns with those from the five nim genes of the control strains. The first 5 isolates from the first 4 patients carried nimE genes, whereas the B. distasonis and B. thetaiotaomicron isolates from the last patient carried a nimA gene.
Fig. 1. PCR products of \textit{nim} genes of \textit{Bacteroides} spp.: lane 1: 100-bp ladder; lane 2: \textit{nimA}-positive control; lane 3: \textit{nimB}-positive control; lane 4: \textit{nimC}-positive control; lane 5: \textit{nimD}-positive control; lane 6: \textit{nimE}-positive control; lane 7: \textit{B. fragilis} (No. 961); lane 8: \textit{B. fragilis} (No. 957); lane 9: \textit{B. fragilis} (No. 329); lane 10: \textit{B. fragilis} (No. 388a); lane 11: \textit{B. ovatus} (No. 388b); lane 12: \textit{B. distasonis} (No. 457a); lane 13: \textit{B. thetaiotaomicron} (No. 457b); lane 14: water blank.

Fig. 2. \textbf{a} RFLP profile for \textit{HpaII} restriction enzyme digest obtained with control strains of \textit{nimA} to \textit{nimE} genes (lane 2–6, respectively) and 7 other isolates. Lane 8: \textit{B. fragilis} (No. 961); lane 9: \textit{B. fragilis} (No. 957); lane 10: \textit{B. fragilis} (No. 329); lane 11: \textit{B. fragilis} (No. 338a); lane 12: \textit{B. ovatus} (No. 388b); lane 13: \textit{B. distasonis} (No. 457a); lane 14: \textit{B. thetaiotaomicron} (No. 457b), and lanes 1, 7 and 15: 100-bp ladder. \textbf{b} RFLP profile for \textit{TaqI} restriction enzyme digest obtained with control strains of \textit{nimA} to \textit{nimE} genes (lanes 2–6, respectively) and 7 other isolates. Lane 8: \textit{B. fragilis} (No. 961); lane 9: \textit{B. fragilis} (No. 957); lane 10: \textit{B. fragilis} (No. 329); lane 11: \textit{B. fragilis} (No. 338a); lane 12: \textit{B. ovatus} (No. 388b); lane 13: \textit{B. distasonis} (No. 457a); lane 14: \textit{B. thetaiotaomicron} (No. 457b), and lanes 1, 7 and 15: 100-bp ladder.

\section*{Discussion}

The 2 cases from the Amiri Hospital reported in this study had contrasting features. While the isolation of MTZ-resistant \textit{Bacteroides} spp. was subsequent to MTZ therapy in the 2nd patient, the 1st patient, whose peritoneal specimen was taken at laparotomy, before MTZ was given, also yielded MTZ-resistant \textit{B. fragilis} although this 1st patient gave no history of MTZ use. Both isolates had MTZ MIC values of $>32 \ \mu g/ml$. Our previous report [12] showed that it was possible for short-term MTZ therapy to give rise to acquisition of resistance, contrary to previous reports [7, 10, 11], but resistance in patients with no previous history of MTZ treatment is very rare [8]. Several mechanisms of MTZ resistance have been described in \textit{Bacteroides} spp., including transferable resistance [22, 23]. The existence of transferable resistance in some strains supports the possibility of intra- and interspecies spread of MTZ resistance, which may explain how case 1 acquired the MTZ-resistant \textit{B. fragilis}.

\textit{Bacteroides} spp. are the anaerobes most frequently isolated from a variety of clinical materials. Unfortunately, most clinical microbiology laboratories still assume that only colonies with a zone of inhibition around a 5-\mu g MTZ disc on solid media are obligate anaerobes [13]. This assumption overlooks the possibility of MTZ resistance in anaerobic organisms with a consequent underestimation of anaerobic infections and underreporting of MTZ-resistant isolates. Reports have highlighted the emergence of MTZ-resistant \textit{Bacteroides} spp. in France [24, 25]. A recent report of a case of treatment failure with MTZ regimen in Kuwait [12] underscores the importance of vigilance in the laboratory in order to promptly identify potential problems of MTZ-resistant isolates. It is therefore important that both laboratory staff and clinicians be
Table 1. Location, species number, MICs of MTZ and nim gene type of Bacteroides isolates in Kuwait

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient/lane No.</th>
<th>Hospital</th>
<th>Species (strain No.)</th>
<th>MTZ MIC µg/ml</th>
<th>Nim gene</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1/8</td>
<td>Amiri</td>
<td>B. fragilis 961</td>
<td>&gt;32</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/9</td>
<td>Amiri</td>
<td>B. fragilis 957</td>
<td>&gt;32</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/10</td>
<td>Mubarak</td>
<td>B. fragilis 329</td>
<td>&gt;32</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/11</td>
<td>Mubarak</td>
<td>B. fragilis 388a</td>
<td>&gt;32</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/12</td>
<td>Mubarak</td>
<td>B. ovatus 388b</td>
<td>&gt;32</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/13</td>
<td>Mubarak</td>
<td>B. distasonis 457a</td>
<td>&gt;32</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/14</td>
<td>Mubarak</td>
<td>B. thetaiotaomicron 457b</td>
<td>&gt;32</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Lane number in figures 2a, b.
2 Restriction enzyme digest (HpaII and TaqI) of nim gene PCR products.

aware of the potential for encountering Bacteroides spp. that are resistant to MTZ and other antimicrobial agents [12, 17, 25].

This is the first report of nim genes that encode MTZ resistance among clinical strains of Bacteroides spp. in Kuwait. The nim gene PCR product analysis showed that the 7 strains from the 5 patients contained nim genes. With the RFLP analysis of the PCR products, identification of the specific genes could be delineated. In this study, two types of nim genes were detected; 5 strains contained nimE and the remaining 2 contained nimA. The nimA, nimC, and nimD genes have been shown to be located on small plasmids, pIP417, pIP419 and pIP421, respectively, and appear to be associated with lower MICs of MTZ [19, 26], whereas nimB and nimE are chromosomally located and tended to exhibit higher MTZ MICs [18, 20, 26]. NimE was present in 4 MTZ-resistant (MIC, >32 µg/ml) B. fragilis isolates and 1 B. ovatus. The nimA genes were found in the B. distasonis and B. thetaiotaomicron isolates with MTZ MICs of >32 µg/ml each. Thus, it would appear that the nim genes are not specific to individual species, thereby suggesting that interspecies transfer of these genes may be common [20].

Although the numbers are small, nimE appears to be the predominant gene encoding MTZ resistance in clinical Bacteroides isolates in Kuwait. No nimB, nimC and nimD were detected. This is in contrast to nimB that is prevalent among the MTZ-resistant Bacteroides spp. isolated in Morocco [18] and South Africa [27] and nimA in a series from the UK [20].

It is tempting to speculate that the 4 B. fragilis strains with the same nimE gene which were isolated from 2 patients each in Amiri and Mubarak Hospitals might represent the same bacterial clone which had spread from one patient to the other. However, Bacteroides spp. are a group of non-sporing gram-negative obligate anaerobes that are members of the normal endogenous flora of the gut. Cross-infection or nosocomial spread of these organisms has not been described and the patients were in the hospitals at different times, which would make the possibility of nosocomial spread extremely remote.

In conclusion, 1 of the 2 patients described in detail in this study acquired MTZ-resistant B. fragilis without a history of previous exposure to MTZ. The present study has also demonstrated the occurrence of nimE genes among Bacteroides spp. isolated in Kuwait and showed that the two nim genes probably encoded MTZ resistance in our isolates, a result concordant with previous reports by other groups. In addition, our results emphasize the need for continuous surveillance of antibiotic resistance in Bacteroides spp.
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