Acquired and Inherited Thrombophilic Factors and the Risk for Residual Venous Thrombosis
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Abstract
Acquired and inherited thrombophilic factors increase the risk for (recurrent) venous thrombotic disease. However, little is known about the pathophysiological mechanisms causing these recurrences, or the persistence of thrombosis despite adequate treatment. Because residual thrombosis has been associated with a worse prognostic outcome, we performed an explorative study in order to investigate the prevalence of residual thrombotic lesions after anticoagulant treatment in patients with deep venous thrombosis. Thrombotic parameters as assessed by ultrasonography after a 12-week course of anticoagulants were used. Both thrombophilia in general and acquired thrombophilia in particular were found to be associated with the extent of residual thrombosis. Of the individual thrombophilic factors, protein C deficiency, prothrombin 20210A mutation, active malignant disease and lupus anticoagulant were associated with an increased risk of residual thrombotic mass. Patients with inherited thrombophilia did not differ from patients without any thrombophilic abnormality with regard to residual thrombotic mass [relative risk (RR) 1.3, 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.9–1.8], while acquired thrombophilic disorders increased the risk for residual thrombotic mass as compared to patients without any defect (RR 1.7, 95% CI 1.2–2.2). Although these results should be confirmed in a larger study, they might help us form hypotheses concerning why patients with thrombophilia are more prone to recurrent venous thromboembolic disease.

Introduction

Acquired and inherited thrombophilic factors are associated with a tendency to develop venous thromboembolism (VTE). Although it is known that some (acquired) thrombophilic states, for example the antiphospholipid syndrome, malignancy and elevated clotting factor VIII:c (FVIII:c), as well as persistence of thrombotic obstruction, increase the risk for recurrence of VTE, little is known about the pathophysiological mechanisms causing these recurrences [1–3].
Since residual thrombosis may be an important risk factor for recurrent thrombotic disease, it would be interesting to know whether the presence of thrombophilia influences the location and extension of thrombotic lesions and their recovery after treatment with antithrombotic agents [4]. One may hypothesize that thrombotic lesions of thrombophilic patients do not resolve as well as lesions in patients without such abnormalities.

To examine whether thrombophilia is associated with the extent of residual thrombosis after anticoagulant treatment, we used the data set of a clinical trial on secondary prophylaxis of VTE in patients with acute proximal deep venous thrombosis (DVT) of the leg [5].

Patients and Methods

Study Population and Design

Patients with symptomatic DVT from two Dutch teaching hospitals participating in a dose-finding study, described in detail elsewhere, comprised the study population [5]. In brief, this study was a multicenter, double-blind trial on the dose-effect relationship of subcutaneous long-acting pentasaccharide (Idraparinux, Organon, Oss, The Netherlands, and Sanofi-Synthelabo, Paris, France) versus an oral vitamin K antagonist (warfarin) in a 12-week treatment for secondary prophylaxis of VTE. Patients were randomized to either 2.5, 5, 7.5 or 10.0 mg of Idraparinux or vitamin K antagonist after approximately 1 week of low-molecular-weight heparin (enoxaparin twice daily 0.1 ml/kg). One of the primary efficacy outcome parameters was the composite of change in thrombotic burden as assessed by compression ultrasonography (CUS) and perfusion lung scanning.

Ultrasonography, using real-time B-mode with compression only, was performed using a standard 5- to 12-MHz linear array transducer and was performed by the same radiologists in each center. Veins were scanned in the transverse plane only. In all patients, common femoral, superficial femoral and popliteal veins were evaluated, without attempts to identify isolated calf vein thrombosis [6, 7].

The first CUS was performed on the day on which Idraparinux or warfarin was started, and the second CUS was performed after 12 weeks of treatment.

Patients with a history of DVT in the ipsilateral leg to that in which acute DVT had previously been diagnosed could participate if complete normalization of thrombosis in that leg had been documented prior to the recurrent symptomatic DVT.

For the present analysis, all patients who had completed the study and in whom screening for thrombophilia had been performed were included. Since the study results showed a comparable efficacy outcome with respect to thrombotic burden (CUS and perfusion lung scan parameters together) in patients treated with 2.5, 5 or 7.5 mg of Idraparinux and warfarin, these treatment arms were pooled and used for the present analyses.

Residual Thrombotic Lesions

The main outcome for this analysis was residual thrombus mass after 12 weeks of treatment as measured by CUS.
Results

Study Population

A total of 64 patients were eligible for analysis (44% male, mean age 54 years). Table 1 lists the observed thrombophilic defects. One or more defects were found in 53% of patients, while 42% of all patients had an inherited thrombophilic defect. Patients with thrombophilia were comparable to those without thrombophilia with respect to sex (male: 44% vs. 46%, respectively; p = 0.7) and age (56 ± 14 vs. 51 ± 17 years, respectively; p = 0.2). Of the individual thrombophilic defects, factor V Leiden mutation was the most prevalent (20%). Two patients (3%) had an active form of malignant disease. None of the studied patients developed recurrent VTE during the study or 1-month follow-up period.

Table 1. Thrombophilic factors in patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Thrombophilic factor</th>
<th>Any thrombophilic factor</th>
<th>Thrombophilic factors</th>
<th>Factor V Leiden mutation</th>
<th>Mild hyperhomocysteinemia</th>
<th>Elevated FVIII:c</th>
<th>Protein S deficiency</th>
<th>Prothrombin 20210A mutation</th>
<th>Positive anticardiolipin antibody IgG</th>
<th>Positive anticardiolipin antibody IgM</th>
<th>Protein C deficiency</th>
<th>Lupus anticoagulant</th>
<th>Active malignancy</th>
<th>A combination of thrombophilic factors</th>
<th>Inherited thrombophilia</th>
<th>Acquired thrombophilia</th>
<th>No thrombophilic factors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>34 (53)</td>
<td>13 (20)</td>
<td>12 (19)</td>
<td>11 (17)</td>
<td>3 (5)</td>
<td>3 (5)</td>
<td>3 (5)</td>
<td>2 (3)</td>
<td>2 (3)</td>
<td>2 (3)</td>
<td>2 (3)</td>
<td>6 (17)</td>
<td>12 (17)</td>
<td>29 (45)</td>
<td>5 (8)</td>
<td>30 (47)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values represent numbers of patients, with percentages in parentheses.

1 All were heterozygous carriers.

2 This group includes patients with protein S and C deficiency, factor V Leiden mutation, prothrombin 20210A mutation, mild hyperhomocysteinemia and elevated FVIII:c.

3 This group includes patients with malignancy, positive lupus anticoagulant and positive anticardiolipin antibodies.

Thrombotic Parameters

There were no statistically significant ultrasonographic differences on the first CUS assessment between patients with and without thrombophilia.

Of the three locations, the popliteal vein was most frequently non-compressible on both CUS measurements (Table 2). After 12 weeks of anticoagulant treatment, residual thrombotic lesions in the popliteal vein were more often present in patients with thrombophilia as compared to patients without thrombophilia (RR 2.3, 95% CI 1.6–3.2). Furthermore, patients with acquired thrombophilia more often had residual thrombotic mass in the popliteal vein as compared to patients with inherited thrombophilia (RR 1.7, 95% CI 1.2–2.3).

There were no differences in the states ‘deterioration’ or ‘no relevant change’ at the various locations when various groups were compared (thrombophilia vs. no thrombophilia; groups of thrombophilic disorders vs. no thrombophilia; individual thrombophilic defects vs. no thrombophilia). For example, ‘deterioration’ occurred in...
3% of all patients with thrombophilia as compared to 4% of patients without thrombophilia (RR 0.9, 95% CI 0.2–4.1), and ‘no relevant change’ was found in 50% of all thrombophilic patients compared to 43% of patients without thrombophilia (RR 1.2, 95% CI 0.9–1.6). Patients with one thrombophilic factor did not differ from patients without thrombophilic defects with respect to residual thrombotic mass on the second CUS (RR 1.3, 95% CI 0.9–1.8). However, patients with three thrombophilic factors had an increased risk for residual thrombotic mass as compared to patients without thrombophilia (RR 1.7, 95% CI 1.2–2.2). As compared to inherited thrombophilia, acquired thrombophilia increased this risk as well (RR 1.3, 95% CI 1.1–1.6).

When patients with individual thrombophilic factors were compared to the control group, protein C deficiency, prothrombin 20210A mutation, lupus anticoagulant and active malignancy were risk factors for residual thrombotic mass at the second CUS (all RRs 1.7, 95% CI 1.2–2.2). Transient risk factors for VTE (e.g. immobilization, use of oral contraceptives), use of pentasaccharide or warfarin, sex, age and weight were not associated with important effects on ultrasonographic thrombotic parameters.

Discussion

The results of this small hypothesis-generating study show that patients with thrombophilia, in particular acquired conditions, have an increased prevalence of residual thrombotic mass in the central venous tract after a 12-week course of antithrombotic therapy. Of the individual thrombophilic factors, protein C deficiency, prothrombin 20210A mutation, active malignant disease and lupus anticoagulant were associated with an increased risk of persistence of thrombotic burden.

Our findings may explain the observed increased recurrence risk in patients with malignancy or lupus anticoagulant and the observation in a recently published study that the risk for recurrence is considerably higher in patients with residual venous thrombosis on ultrasonography as compared to patients with complete normalization [1, 3, 4]. The prospective study performed by Prandoni et al. [4] found an association between thrombophilia and residual thrombosis, but screening for thrombophilia was less extensive than in our study, patients with malignancy were not studied and the primary outcome was recurrent thromboembolic disease. Furthermore, that study was performed in a cohort of patients in whom treatment with anticoagulants had been discontinued, while the effect of treatment on the various thrombotic lesions could be better evaluated in our study.

Several issues warrant comment. First, our findings need to be confirmed in a larger study. Second, we used a subsample of screened patients with DVT participating in a randomized clinical study, which may raise concerns about the generalizability of our results. However, the observed prevalence of thrombophilic defects in our study sample was consistent with that in large cohorts of consecutive patients with VTE, and our study population appears to be adequate for this hypothesis-generating study [16].

The mechanisms by which various thrombophilic disorders cause persistence of thrombotic lesions, however, remain unknown and should be investigated in further research. In conclusion, patients with (in particular acquired) thrombophilia appear to have an increased prevalence of residual thrombotic mass even while still using anticoagulant drugs, and this may explain their higher risk for recurrent venous thrombosis.
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