Comparison of Antimicrobial Resistance of Acinetobacter baumannii Clinical Isolates from Shanghai and Hong Kong
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**Abstract**

**Objective:** To compare the antimicrobial resistance patterns of Acinetobacter baumannii isolates from Shanghai and Hong Kong. **Materials and Methods:** A total of 212 A. baumannii strains of one isolate per patient were collected from Shanghai and Hong Kong from August 2002 to August 2003 that were tested against 15 commonly used antimicrobial agents by the agar dilution method according to the NCCLS guidelines. **Results:** Most β-lactams showed no significant increase in activity after adding β-lactamase inhibitors. The resistance rates of the isolates against ticarcillin-clavulinate, piperacillin-tazobactam and ampicillin-sulbactam were for Shanghai 74.9, 70.9, 69.1% and Hong Kong 24.3, 18.9, 13.5%, respectively. Only cefoperazone-sulbactam showed a significant increase in activity against both Shanghai and Hong Kong strains, as the resistance rates dropped from 93.7 to 8.6% and 83.8 to 5.4%, respectively. The resistance rates of ceftazidime, cefepime, and gentamicin against Shanghai strains were 69.7, 72.0, 73.7% and Hong Kong strains 69.7, 29.7, 18.9%, respectively. About 65% of Shanghai strains were found to be amikacin-resistant, however, all Hong Kong strains were sensitive. Fluoroquinolones including ciprofloxacin and levofloxacin had resistance rates over 60% against Shanghai strains, but only 13.5% against Hong Kong strains. Shanghai strains had imipenem and meropenem resistance rate of 6.3%. Though 10.8% Hong Kong strains were resistant to meropenem, only 2.7% of them were resistant to imipenem. **Conclusion:** A. baumannii isolated from Shanghai were more resistant to all drugs except meropenem than Hong Kong isolates. The results indicate a need for measures to control the abuse of antibiotic usage in order to prevent the emergence of more multidrug-resistant isolates in both cities.

**Introduction**

Acinetobacter spp. are a group of important opportunistic pathogens. They are oxidase-negative, non-fermentative gram-negative rods that can cause a wide variety of nosocomial infections among immunocompromised patients or patients with severe underlying diseases especially in the intensive care units [1–4]. Acinetobacter baumannii is the most frequently isolated species in the hospital environment. During the early 1980s, fluoroquinolones were active against A. baumannii infections.
However, more and more A. baumannii clinical isolates have become resistant. Carbapenems such as imipenem and meropenem are the most effective antimicrobial agents against A. baumannii [5, 6]. There are only a few reports about the antimicrobial susceptibility results of A. baumannii from China including two major large cities, Shanghai and Hong Kong [7]. Our study aimed to compare the antimicrobial resistance patterns of A. baumannii isolates from Shanghai and Hong Kong, that could be important for local surveillance and determination of the most effective therapy.

### Materials and Methods

A total of 212 clinical isolates of A. baumannii (one isolate per patient) were collected from Renji Hospital, Shanghai (n = 175) and the Prince of Wales Hospital, Hong Kong (n = 37) between August 2002 and August 2003. The Shanghai isolates were collected from various clinical specimens including sputum, wound, abscess, bile, urine, and blood cultures. The Hong Kong isolates were collected from blood cultures only. All isolates were identified by the API identification system (API Systems, Vercieu, France) and other standard biochemical tests [8].

Fifteen antimicrobial agents including carbapenems, β-lactams, β-lactamase inhibitor combinations, aminoglycosides, cephems and fluoroquinolones were tested against A. baumannii isolates. Minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) were determined by the agar dilution method using Mueller-Hinton agar (Oxoid, UK) according to the National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards (NCCLS) [9]. A multipoint inoculator (Mast, UK) was used to deliver 10^4 colony forming units (CFUs) per spot onto the agar plates with different antimicrobial agents. All plates were incubated at 37°C for 18 h. MICs (mg/l) were defined as the concentrations at which no colony was detected on the agar.

#### Results

MIC₅₀, MIC₉₀ and the percentages of resistance of the A. baumannii isolates from Shanghai and Hong Kong are listed in table 1. β-Lactamase antibiotics except ceftazidime showed no significant increase in activity after adding inhibitors such as clavulanate and tazobactam. The resistance rates to ticarcillin-clavulanate, piperacillin-tazobactam and ampicillin-sulbactam against Shanghai isolates were 74.9, 70.9, 69.1% and Hong Kong isolates were 24.3, 18.9, 13.5%, respectively, with MIC₉₀ ≥ 64 mg/l. Ceftazidime showed a significant increase in activity after adding sulbactam. The resistance rates of the Shanghai isolates and the Hong Kong isolates dropped from 93.7 to 8.6% and from 83.8 to 5.4%, repectively.

### Table 1. MIC and the percentages of resistance (%R) of A. baumannii isolated from Shanghai and Hong Kong

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antimicrobial Agent</th>
<th>Shanghai (n = 175)</th>
<th>Hong Kong (n = 37)</th>
<th>NCCLS susceptibility breakpoint, mg/l</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MIC₅₀, mg/l</td>
<td>MIC₉₀, mg/l</td>
<td>%R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ticarcillin</td>
<td>≥ 128</td>
<td>≥ 128</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ticarcillin-clavulanate</td>
<td>≥ 128/2</td>
<td>≥ 128/2</td>
<td>74.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piperacillin</td>
<td>≥ 128</td>
<td>≥ 128</td>
<td>78.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piperacillin-tazobactam</td>
<td>64/4</td>
<td>64/4</td>
<td>70.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cefoperazone</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>93.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cefoperazone-sulbactam</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amoxicillin-sulbactam</td>
<td>32/16</td>
<td>32/16</td>
<td>69.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cefazidime</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>69.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cefepime</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imipenem</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meropenem</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amikacin</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>64.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gentamicin</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>73.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciprofloxacin</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>69.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levofloxacin</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>65.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a The susceptibility breakpoint of cefoperazone-sulbactam ≤ 16 mg/l (not listed in the NCCLS).

b The MIC₉₀ were equivalent to or less than their NCCLS susceptibility breakpoints. There should be 90% or more A. baumannii isolates susceptible to this antimicrobial agent.
tively. MIC\textsubscript{50} and MIC\textsubscript{90} also decreased from 128 to 16 mg/l. The resistance rates to ceftazidime and cefepime against the Shanghai and Hong Kong strains were about 70 and 25%, respectively (MIC\textsubscript{90} = 128 and 64 mg/l, and MIC\textsubscript{90} = 64 and 32 mg/l).

Among all the antimicrobial agents tested, carbapenems including imipenem and meropenem showed high activity against the Shanghai isolates with MIC\textsubscript{90} ≤ 2 mg/l, of which only 6.3% were resistant. Approximately, 11% of Hong Kong strains were resistant to meropenem (MIC\textsubscript{90} = 8 mg/l), but only 2.7% were resistant to imipenem (MIC\textsubscript{90} = 4 mg/l). Approximately 65% Shanghai strains were amikacin-resistant (MIC\textsubscript{90} = 128 mg/l), but all Hong Kong isolates were sensitive. The Shanghai strains were threefold more resistant against gentamicin than the Hong Kong strains with rates of 73.7 and 18.9%, respectively. Fluoroquinolones including ciprofloxacin and levofloxacin were fourfold less active in Shanghai than Hong Kong isolates (60% resistance for Shanghai and only 13.5% for Hong Kong isolates).

**Discussion**

*Acinetobacter* spp. are widely distributed in both natural and hospital environments [10]. This group of organisms are the second most commonly isolated nonfermenters following *P. aeruginosa*. They are able to survive on moist and dry surfaces, and may also be present on healthy human skin [11]. The organisms are associated with hospital-acquired infections and most frequently observed during the warm season. The involved organs/tissues include the respiratory and urinary tracts and wounds (including catheter sites). The infection may progress to septicemia [11–13]. Risk factors for *Acinetobacter* spp. include inappropriate antibiotic treatment, and/or surgery, instrumentation, and stay in intensive care units. *Acinetobacter* spp. isolates are more often colonizers than infecting agents [14]. In recent years, multidrug-resistant *Acinetobacter* spp. isolates are frequently found all around the world [15].

Carbapenems including imipenem and meropenem were very active against the *A. baumannii* isolates from both cities with more than 90% of the isolates susceptible. Only 6.3% Shanghai strains and 2.7% Hong Kong strains were resistant to imipenem. However, about 10% Hong Kong strains were resistant to meropenem. It was the only antimicrobial agent to which Hong Kong isolates had a higher antimicrobial resistance rate compared to Shanghai strains. This may be due to three Hong Kong strains in the NCCLS intermediate category that were classified as resistant in the study.

Most β-lactamase inhibitor combinations except cefoperazone-sulbactam did not significantly improve the antimicrobial activity including ticarcillin-clavulanate, piperacillin-tazobactam and ampicillin-sulbactam [16]. All the resistance rates were over 70% against the Shanghai isolates and ranged between 15 and 20% against the Hong Kong isolates. Sulbactam greatly improved the activity of cefoperazone, as the resistance rates of cefoperazone-sulbactam decreased to 8.6 and 5.4% against the Shanghai and Hong isolates, respectively. Sulbactam has been shown to be a superior β-lactamase inhibitor compared with clavulanic acid and tazobactam against the multiresistant *A. baumannii* isolates [17, 18].

To deal with the increasing antimicrobial resistance of *A. baumannii*, measures for controlling overuse and abuse of antibiotics should be instituted in these hospitals. Antibiotics should only be prescribed if an infection is highly suspected. Physicians should choose an older generation of antibiotic according to the antimicrobial susceptibility results and reserve the powerful newer antibiotics. If the culture results are shown to be negative and no sign of infection, antibiotic therapy should be discontinued.

In a future study, the molecular epidemiology of the resistant strains from both cities such as three meropenem-resistant strains from Hong Kong should be investigated. Amplified ribosomal DNA restriction analysis and pulse-field gel electrophoresis can be used.

**Conclusion**

Imipenem, meropenem and cefoperazone-sulbactam were the most effective antimicrobial agents against the *A. baumannii* isolates from Shanghai and Hong Kong. Amikacin showed exceptional high activity against the isolates from Hong Kong. The Shanghai strains were more resistant to all drugs except meropenem than their Hong Kong counterparts.
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