Platelet Activation Markers in Patients with Venous Thromboembolism without Predisposing Factors
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Abstract
A constant in vitro hypersensitivity of platelets (adenosine diphosphate) has been suggested as a risk factor for arterial and even venous thrombosis. Our aim was to determine phenotypic and functional alterations of platelets by flow cytometry as potential prothrombotic risk factors in patients with a history of unexplained spontaneous venous thrombosis. Forty-nine patients with a history of spontaneous venous thrombosis and no inherited or acquired thrombophilic risk factors were compared with a reference group of 39 healthy volunteers. Flow cytometry (FACS) was used to analyze the surface expression of CD62 (P-selectin) and CD63 in nonactivated platelets and after in vitro stimulation with adenosine diphosphate and thrombin receptor activator peptide 6. Mean fluorescence intensity of CD62 and CD63 surface expression as well as percentage of CD62 and CD63 positive cells and binding index differed in patients with a history of thrombosis compared with the reference group, but failed to reach statistical significance. Similar results were observed after in vitro stimulation with adenosine diphosphate and thrombin receptor activator peptide 6. In conclusion, the expression of CD62 and CD63 of resting and in vitro activated platelets could not be established as a risk factor for spontaneous venous thromboembolism.

Introduction
Thrombosis is now understood as a multifactorial event. Apart from exogenous risk factors like surgery, immobilization, pregnancy or malignancy, a number of inherited or acquired abnormalities of the coagulation system have been identified as risk factors for venous thromboembolism (VTE). Dysfunction of the plasmatic coagulation characterized by an imbalance of the clotting cascade and the fibrinolytic system has been well defined by several pathological findings like deficiency of protein C, protein S and antithrombin deficiency, resistance to activated protein C, mutation of the prothrombin gene at position G20210A or antiphospholipid antibodies. Less is known about the role of the cellular side of the coagulation system in the setting of venous thrombosis. Few stud-
ies have focused on platelet abnormalities as predisposing factors for thrombosis. One of the first reports by Wu et al. [1] suggested that enhanced platelet aggregation in platelet aggregometry might be an important risk factor for recurrent venous thrombosis. Breddin et al. [2] demonstrated a positive correlation between spontaneously enhanced platelet aggregation and vascular occlusions. Similar findings were observed for idiopathic thrombosis in childhood [3]. Prospective data from 148 patients with arterial or venous thrombosis showed an enhanced spontaneous platelet aggregation in 55–75% during the 3 months prior to the event [4]. Mammen et al. [5] described hyperreactibility of platelets to low concentrations using platelet aggregometry of epinephrine or adenosine diphosphate (ADP) as a risk factor in over 200 families with a wide variety of arterial and venous thrombosis. This entity with the evidence of an autosomal dominant inheritance was termed the 'sticky platelet syndrome'.

Flow cytometry has been established as a sensitive tool for characterization of platelet function in prothrombotic conditions like diabetes, antiphospholipid antibody syndrome, heparin-induced thrombocytopenia type II, acute myocardial infarction and acute ischemic cerebrovascular disease leading to platelet activation [6–10]. The aim of the study was to evaluate whether FACS analysis of platelet activation markers ex vivo and after in vitro stimulation indicates a permanent prothrombotic state in patients with a history of otherwise unexplained VTE.

Material and Methods

Study Population

The study population consisted of 49 patients with a history of VTE. They were consecutively recruited at the outpatient department of the University Hospital Hamburg-Eppendorf between January 1999 and December 2001. The following criteria lead to inclusion into the study population: a history of spontaneous VTE, without abnormal findings concerning protein C, protein S, antithrombin activity, activated protein C resistance, prothrombin mutation, homocysteine levels, lupus anticoagulants or anticardiolipin antibodies. Platelet aggregation inhibitors were stopped at least 10 days prior to investigation.

Investigations were carried out for at least 6 weeks, in the average 22.3 weeks (range 8–73) after the thrombotic event. Patients’ characteristics are given in table 1. Age and sex distributions were similar for patients with a history of spontaneous venous thrombosis and the control group of healthy volunteers (table 1).

Collection of Blood Samples

All investigated individuals were at rest for at least 15 min before blood collection. Blood samples were obtained by a sharp antecubital venipuncture using a 21-gauge needle (Sarstedt, Nürembrecth, Germany) and collected in polypropylene monovettes (Sarstedt) containing 3.2% sodium citrate.

In vitro Stimulation of Platelets

For in vitro stimulation of platelets, citrated whole blood was incubated with the agonists ADP 5 μM (Biodata, Germany) and thrombin receptor-activating peptide 6 (TRAP-6) 10 μM (SLFFRN, Bachem, Heidelberg, Germany) for 5 min before fixation.

Preparation of Blood Samples for Flow Cytometry

Platelets were immediately fixed by a 10-min incubation in 0.15 M phosphate-buffered saline (Gibco BRL, Eggenstein, Germany) containing 0.2% v/v glyoxal (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) and 0.4% w/v paraformaldehyde (Merck). Samples were stabilized by 10-fold dilution with phosphate-buffered saline containing 0.2% w/v glycine (Serva, Heidelberg, Germany). For flow cytometric analysis, 100 μl of each sample was labeled with saturating concentrations of monoclonal antibodies for 30 min at room temperature in the dark.

Monoclonal Antibodies

To detect platelet activation, murine monoclonal antibodies against P-selectin (CD62p) and CD63 (Coulter-Immunotech, Krefeld, Germany) were used. CD41a (GP IIb/IIIa) was used as a tagging antibody. A nonspecific antibody (Coulter-Immunotech, Hamburg, Germany) was used to detect nonspecific staining. All antibodies were of the IgG isotype and directly conjugated with either fluorescein isothiocyanate or phycoerythrin and originated from the same lot.

Flow Cytometry

A FACSCalibur® from Becton Dickinson, Heidelberg, Germany, was used for flow cytometric analysis. CELLQuest® software (Becton Dickinson) was used for acquisition and analysis of list-mode data. Platelets were detectable in dotplots of log forward-angle light scatter versus log 90° side scatter. An appropriate threshold was set in the forward scatter to exclude debris and electronic noise. Fluorescein isothiocyanate and phycoerythrin fluorescence were displayed on logarithmic scales, and 10,000 events were analyzed for each sample. Results were expressed in arbitrary mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) and percentage of positive platelets (PPP), calculated by subtracting the nonspecific fluorescence of the

Table 1. Characteristics of patients and controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Age, years (mean ± SD)</th>
<th>Male/female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patients</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>48.7 ± 12.8</td>
<td>22/27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controls</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>45.7 ± 10.3</td>
<td>17/22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
isotype control from the specific fluorescence of the monoclonal antibodies. For subtraction, the manufacturer’s software was used (CELLQuest software, Becton Dickinson).

**Statistical Analysis**

SPSS 10.1® software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Ill., USA) was used for statistical analysis. Comparisons of the data were performed using the U test (Mann-Whitney test) for paired non-Gaussian data. A p value < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. Results of corpuscular MFI and PPP are indicated as medians and percentiles.

**Results**

**Clinical Characteristics of the Patients**

Twenty-six (53.1%) of the 49 patients had experienced a deep vein thrombosis within the last months. Eight (16.3%) presented with isolated pulmonary embolism and 9 (18.4%) had both. Sinus vein thrombosis was observed in 5 patients (10.2%). Twelve patients (24.5%) presented with recurrent thromboembolic complications (table 2).

**Ex vivo Measurements in Resting Platelets**

The median of the MFI of CD62 and the PPP for CD62 ex vivo were slightly higher in patients with thromboembolism compared with the control group (MFI: median 28.4 vs. 27.0, p = 0.33; PPP: median 2.9 vs. 2.6, p = 0.97), whereas for CD63 antigen, MFI (median 42.2 vs. 42.4, p = 0.88) and PPP (median 11.1 vs. 12.3, p = 0.75) were higher in the control group. No significant differences between groups were observed (table 3).

**In vitro Stimulation with ADP and TRAP-6**

A higher increase in ADP-induced expression of CD62 antigen was observed in the study population group (MFI: median 76.3 vs. 76.2, p = 0.33; PPP: median 4.8 vs. 3.2, p = 0.13). Activation-dependent binding sites of CD63 increased slightly more in the patients’ group (MFI: median 106.1 vs. 99.6, p = 0.51), whereas PPP for CD63 stayed lower in the patients’ group in comparison with the control group (median 9.8 vs. 10.9, p = 0.59).

Activation with TRAP-6 resulted in a higher amount of positive cells for both CD62 (median 42.0 vs. 39.4, p = 0.89) and CD63 (median 42.0 vs. 39.6, p = 0.53) in the patients’ group, whereas a more pronounced increase in MFI could be observed in the control group for both applied markers (CD 62: median 254.1 vs. 266.1, p = 0.48; CD 63: median 253.3 vs. 265.0, p = 0.62). None of the investigated parameters reached statistical significance (table 4, 5).
An increased or disturbed activation and aggregation of platelets is widely accepted to play a major role in the pathophysiology of arterial thrombosis and is related to vascular disease. Flow cytometry has been increasingly used for specific characterization of phenotypic alterations of platelets, which are related to prothrombic syndromes like acute coronary syndrome, stroke, diabetes, the immune type of heparin-induced thrombocytopenia and the antiphospholipid syndrome [6–10].

Experimental animal flow models have shown that even venous thrombosis induced by vessel wall damage is both coagulation and platelet dependent [11]. The antiplatelet agent ticlopidine revealed a potent antithrombotic effect in such a model [11], and aspirin was found to be as effective in reducing the thrombus generation as low molecular weight heparin under flow conditions [12].

Receptor-mediated activation of circulating platelets subsequently leads to changes of their membrane phospholipid distribution. These phospholipids provide procoagulant conditions by formation of prothrombinase and tenase complexes, which induce generation of thrombin and factor Xa [13, 14].

Our case control study is the first to evaluate platelet activation in patients with a history of spontaneous deep vein thrombosis by measuring platelet activation markers using flow cytometry. It seemed likely that in patients without known abnormalities of the plasmatic coagulation system, enhanced platelet function may be a relevant risk factor for venous thromboembolic events.

Our results do not demonstrate a significantly higher ex vivo expression of the established platelet activation markers CD63 and CD62 (P-selectin) in the group of patients with thrombosis. The migration of these two lysosomal and α-granule membrane glycoproteins to the cell surface characterizes the secretion phase with release of platelet granula content. This process only takes place when high concentrations of agonists like ADP and thrombin are present [15]. In comparison, it is known that other activation-related platelet alterations like conformational changes of the fibrinogen receptor or formation of platelet-derived microparticles require less intensive stimuli. Taking this into account, it is a matter of debate whether the applied markers CD62 and CD63 are the most suitable ones with respect to an increased platelet activation suspected as a cause of venous thromboembolic events. Possibly, the measurement of other platelet alterations like shape change or surface expression of phospholipids would have achieved detection of platelet activation in the studied patients.

It has been speculated that an increased in vitro response of preactivated platelets to agonists may be present in prothrombotic syndromes [15, 16]. Therefore, we investigated platelet activation marker status after stimulation with ADP and TRAP-6.

Such a phenomenon, which can be considered as ‘priming’, was not detectable in the platelets of patients with venous thrombosis. In parts, these findings are consistent with our previous investigations [18]. Using platelet aggregometry with high agonist concentrations, we found no platelet hyperreagibility at comparable amounts of ADP in the same populations of patients and normal donors [18]. Only TRAP-6 at a lower concentration of 2 μM was able to significantly differentiate between the investigated groups. In contrast, Breddin et al. [3, 4] described enhanced spontaneous aggregation in the PAT test, while Bick [19] and Mammen et al. [5, 20] observed an in vitro platelet hypersensitivity with similar concentrations of epinephrine and ADP in 13.2% of patients with a history of deep vein thrombosis. They concluded that platelet hyperreagibility may be a common cause of VTE [19].

There might be two reasons for the contradictory findings of these studies: flow cytometry reflects different platelet functions as aggregometry, and therefore, results can differ because of different methodology, e.g. the use of high agonist concentrations.

In addition, polymorphisms of receptors are thought to be a potential cause of platelet hyperreactivity. Michelson et al. [21] demonstrated a lower threshold of platelet

### Table 5. MFI and PPP in patients with thromboembolism and controls after stimulation with TRAP-6 (medians and percentiles)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marker MFI</th>
<th>MFI</th>
<th>PPP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CD62 CD63</td>
<td>CD62 CD63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venous thrombosis group (n = 49)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>254.1</td>
<td>253.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25th</td>
<td>189.5</td>
<td>198.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75th</td>
<td>332.7</td>
<td>320.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control group (n = 39)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>266.1</td>
<td>265.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25th</td>
<td>204.0</td>
<td>200.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75th</td>
<td>378.0</td>
<td>330.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p value</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
activation measured by P-selectin expression, fibrinogen binding and GP IIb/IIIa activation for the GP IIIa PI(A2) polymorphism, but found a different sensitivity to ADP only for low concentrations (0.5 and 1.0 μM).

If platelets display an ‘all or none’ activation response, the high agonist concentrations used in our study might have overcome differences of platelet reactivity which might have been detected using low agonist concentrations.

It is known that platelets participate in a complex manner in clot formation even in the venous system, but our data failed to show significant platelet activation in patients with venous thrombosis without predisposing factors. If only few patients with venous thrombosis possess permanently hyperactive platelets, larger numbers of patients are needed to identify these patients.

References


