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Introduction

The introduction of the bioanatomic concepts of conservation laryngeal cancer was the result of the works of Frazer, Tucker, Pressman, Kirschner [1, 2], and others [3]. These studies demonstrated the relationship between the embryological and anatomical characteristics of the larynx as well as the biological behavior of laryngeal tumors. The concept that natural (architectural) barriers within the cartilaginous and ligamentous laryngeal framework could prevent the downward expansion of supraglottic cancer had been observed clinically too. Lesions arising on the laryngeal surface of the epiglottis may spread superficially and laterally to involve most of the epiglottic surface. The second, and frequent, step is the invasion of the epiglottic cartilage, penetrating through the lacunae into the pre-epiglottic space. The pre-epiglottic space may also be invaded by the tumor spreading around the lateral edge of the epiglottis. Once tumors involve the pre-epiglottic space, they may spread inferiorly extending to the epiglottis petiole, and the anterior commissure [3]. Although quite large they may be confined to the supraglottic anatomical area, so that a supraglottic laryngectomy can encompass the lesion. The pre-epiglottic space may also be invaded by the tumor spreading around the lateral edge of the epiglottis. Once tumors involve the pre-epiglottic space, they may spread inferiorly extending to the epiglottis petiole, and the anterior commissure [3]. Although quite large they may be confined to the supraglottic anatomical area, so that a supraglottic laryngectomy can encompass the lesion. Tucker and Smith [4] histologically demonstrated that elastic tissue barriers (laryngeal ligaments and cartilages) within the larynx provide the anatomical basis for these observations. Studies of Coutard and Valat [5], and Bocca et al. [6] and others confirmed the observations that supraglottic tumors generally do not invade the glottis and remained above the ventricle. They also attributed that
characteristic to the fact that the supraglottic larynx develops separately from the glottis. The anterior commissure ligament may also serve as a barrier to the inferior spread of supraglottic tumors, but if it becomes grossly involved it may serve as a route of invasion to the thyroid cartilage. On the other hand, tumors of the ventricle invade the paraglottic space early and rapidly become transglottic, invade cartilage and spread to extralaryngeal areas. Once the tumor crosses the ventricle inferiorly, either by mucosal spread or paraglottic space extension, they are termed transglottic tumors. The paraglottic space extends along the three laryngeal regions and communicates with the pre-epiglottic space, anteriorly. The right and left paraglottic spaces also communicate just below the anterior commissure, where an area of minor resistance is represented by the point of entry of the anteroinferior laryngeal artery in the conoid ligament. The indications of subtotal laryngectomy must, therefore, bear these concepts in mind. The inferior paraglottic space, on the other hand, tends to be narrow, forming an acute angle at the junction of the conus elasticus and the free margin of the cricoid cartilage, giving rise to an area susceptible to neoplastic microinfiltration. Most of the times, there is no evident infiltration of the subglottic area at this level, at endoscopic evaluation. Another important point of consideration is the role of conus elasticus as a barrier to tumor progression. Although it is a natural obstacle to tumor progression, it becomes weaker with aging, and once tumor infiltration occurs, the risks of tracheal invasion increase.

Therapeutic Options for Advanced Supraglottic Cancer

The treatment options for the advanced supraglottic laryngeal cancer includes: radiation therapy, transoral laser excision, partial laryngectomies, total laryngectomy, and chemoradiation.

Selected advanced supraglottic cancer can be successfully treated with radiotherapy (RT) alone. Mendenhall et al. [7] characterized what they called an unfavorable (for RT treatment) advanced supraglottic cancer. These lesions included all T4 tumors and T3 lesions with high volume (at CT) with vocal cord fixation and association with airway compromise. Prognostic factors associated with higher rates of disease-free survival with RT alone for advanced supraglottic cancer include: T3 tumor classification based on the limited invasion of pre-epiglottic space (<25%) and/or extension to the medial wall of the piriform sinus without vocal cord fixation, predominantly exophytic lesions with tumor volume \( \leq 6 \text{ cm}^3 \) (based on CT), and without neck metastases. Five-year local control for supraglottic cancer treated with exclusive RT ranges from 12 to 68% for T3 patients, and from 14 to 73% for T4 patients, according to the literature (table 1).

A better understanding of supraglottic anatomy and cancer spread have also led to recent innovations in supraglottic cancer surgery: endoscopic laser surgery. The concept of endoscopic resection of supraglottic tumors is not new, but endoscopic CO\(_2\) laser surgery was introduced only in 1972 by Strong and Jako [14]. Davis et al. [15], in 1983, reported the first series of patients undergoing laser epiglottectomy. Steiner [16], in 1988, introduced the use of bivalved laryngopharyngoscope for the oncologic surgery of supraglottic tumors. Finally, Zeitels et al. [17] in 1994 reported a more extensive experience with endoscopic resection of supraglottic carcinoma including selected T3 tumors. They concluded that the key to this procedure is to obtain adequate assessment of the depth of cancer involvement, what they called the invisible third dimension, which is very difficult to achieve in more advanced tumors. The relatively fast rehabilitation from endoscopic laser surgery associated with lower rates of complications in comparison with open supraglottic laryngectomy is very attractive, particularly for older or debilitated patients. Nevertheless, the results found in the literature for more advanced stages of supraglottic cancer are controversial and not reproducible. Therefore, contraindications for this procedure in supraglottic cancer, for the majority of authors in the literature, are mostly T3 and all of T4 lesions, infrahyoid involvement and extensive neck disease. Local control after transoral laser exci-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>T3 (5-year LC)</th>
<th>T4 (5-year LC)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Harwood and Hill [8]</td>
<td>1983</td>
<td>30 (56%)</td>
<td>94 (52%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mendenhall et al. [9]</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>89 (68%)</td>
<td>21 (56%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daugaard and Sand [10]</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>168 (38%)</td>
<td>204 (29%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sykes et al. [12]</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>83 (67%)</td>
<td>47 (73%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hinerman et al. [13]</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>99 (62%)</td>
<td>28 (62%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LC = Local control.
* 5-years OS – Stage III/IV.
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Section 1: Background and Historical Perspective

- Total laryngectomy combined with radical neck dissection was the standard procedure for advanced laryngeal cancer until 1950.
- Alonso [21] presented the original description of horizontal supraglottic laryngectomy at the Pan American Conference of Otolaryngology in 1946.
- Ogura [22] in 1958 introduced the one-stage supraglottic laryngectomy using a muscle flap and skin graft.
- Som [23] in 1959 reported a modification of Ogura’s supraglottic laryngectomy technique consisting of primary closure by direct approximation of the base of the tongue to the remaining larynx by using the outer thyroid perichondrium.

Section 2: Indications for Supraglottic Laryngectomy

- Suitability for supraglottic laryngectomy depends on the extent and anatomical location of the tumor and the medical condition of the patient.
- Anatomical contraindications include extension on the infrahyoid epiglottis or into the ventricle within 5 mm of the anterior commissure and/or true vocal cords, gross involvement of the apex of the piriform sinus, cartilage invasion (CI), or extension to the arytenoids.
- Other contraindications include arytenoid fixation, subglottic extension ≥ 10 mm (anterior) and ≥ 5 mm (posterior), extensive invasion of pre-epiglottic space, hyoid bone invasion, cricoid CI, and extralaryngeal spread.

Section 3: Techniques and Results

- Table 2: Transoral laser excision for supraglottic cancer.
- Table 3: 5-year local control in supraglottic laryngectomy.
- Table 4: 5-year local control for supracricoid laryngectomy with cricothyroidopexy.

The resection of certain supraglottic cancers that would normally be contraindicated for a supraglottic horizontal laryngectomy (usually for glottic extension). Major indications for this procedure include moderately advanced supraglottic tumors with involvement of the infrathyroid epiglottis, anterior commissure, or true vocal cords (with mobile arytenoids). This procedure consists of resection of the entire supraglottis, true vocal cords, thyroid cartilage, and pre-epiglottic and paraglottic spaces. The arytenoids, cricoid, hyoid bone, and recurrent nerves are preserved.

Contraindications for SCL-CHP include: arytenoid fixation, subglottic extension ≥ 10 mm (anterior) and ≥ 5 mm (posterior), extensive invasion of pre-epiglottic space, hyoid bone invasion, cricoid CI, and extralaryngeal spread. Local control after SCL-CHP procedures ranges from 79 to 96% according to the literature (table 4).
Therapeutic Options for Advanced Glottic and Subglottic Cancer

The treatment options for advanced glottic laryngeal cancer include: radiation therapy, partial laryngectomies, total laryngectomy, and chemoradiation.

Although older series show suboptimal control rates (20–35%) and survival rates (10–50%) for unselected sets of T3 and T4 tumors treated with radiation alone, it is now recognized that, with proper selection, control rates for T3 lesions treated by RT can approach 80% [39]. Stage T3 tumors of the glottis may be subdivided into (1) more favorable lesions that may be considered for RT, with surgical salvage for failures, and (2) unfavorable lesions with extensive bilateral involvement, cartilage destruction, or extension to soft tissues of the neck. According to Mendenhall et al. [39], lesions that are generally more suitable for initial management with RT are unilateral, exophytic lesions and do not cause airway obstruction. Comparing the results of primary irradiation versus primary surgery in 108 patients with T3 glottic tumors treated at the University of Florida, Mendenhall et al. [39] found similar locoregional control rates of 81% for both therapeutic options. The incidence of severe complications were also comparable (15%), but the 66% rate of voice preservation in the RT group was significantly higher than the 2% associated with the surgical group. Locoregional control rates following definitive RT range from 36 to 71% in most series, with surgical salvage rates from 30 to 50% (table 5).

Although the indication of performing a salvage total laryngectomy for RT failures is not 100% shared by the international literature, the dangers of performing a vertical hemilaryngectomy in these circumstances are well known, both from the difficulty in ascertaining that the cord fixation is not associated with thyroid CI and from the known significant increase in complications.

Two different surgical approaches were developed to challenge the gold standard management of advanced laryngeal cancer, represented by total laryngectomy. The less-than-total laryngectomy procedures, despite their published oncological and voice-sparing success, have not become universally accepted, yet.

For glottic tumors, supracricoid partial laryngectomy is associated with cricohyoidoepiglottopexy (CHEP) in order to maintain the airway integrity. Functionally, the base of the tongue and the tip of the epiglottis together with the overhanging arytenoids protect against aspiration, and the moving arytenoids function as a neoglottis producing voice. Indications for SCPL-CHEP include moderately advanced glottic tumors (T2–3) with extension to the ventricle, false vocal cord, petiole of the epiglottis, anterior aspect of the arytenoid cartilage, and/or impaired vocal cord mobility. T4 glottic tumors with limited thyroid CI are also indications for this procedure. Conversely, contraindications for SCPL-CHEP include arytenoid fixation, subglottic extension beyond that amenable for a vertical hemilaryngectomy (10 mm anteriorly and 5 mm posteriorly, reaching the superior cricoid border), pre-epiglottic space, cricoid CI, invasion of the outer perichondrium of the thyroid cartilage, and extralaryngeal spread. This technique provides a good alternative for moderately advanced glottic cancer removing the paraglottic space, as we can see in the results of the French authors, and the Brazilian National Cancer Institute experience with pT3 and pT4 glottic cancer patients, with higher local control rates in comparison with other conservative options for glottic cancer (table 6). Our previous experience with SCPL-CHEP for laryngeal cancer motivated us to include more advanced glottic cancers in the group of subtotal laryngectomies [43].

Five-year local control rates for advanced glottic cancer treated with SCPL-CHEP ranges from 93.1 to 94.6% (table 6).

In 1980, Pearson et al. [48] at the Mayo Clinic presented their experience with 'near total' laryngectomy as a surgical alternative to total laryngectomy, as an option for advanced glottic carcinomas. The procedure is clearly more complicated than total laryngectomy, and includes subtotal resection of the larynx preserving one arytenoid and posterior 1/3 of the vocal cord (preserving the ipsilateral recurrent laryngeal nerve) of the uninvolved side of the larynx for voice preservation. Preservation of the lateral segment of the hyoid bone on the uninvolved side appears to protect against aspiration and to allow for more mobility of the arytenoids.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Patients</th>
<th>Local control, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mendenhall et al. [39]</td>
<td>15*</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>32**</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bryant et al. [40]</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harwood et al. [41]</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang [42]</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Once-a-day treatment; ** twice-a-day treatment.
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Hemilarynx maintains the superior laryngeal vessels and nerve, decreasing the risks of aspiration. A shunt is created by using a superiorly based hypopharyngeal flap to fill the resected side of the larynx, thus separating the laryngotracheal remnants from the pharynx. A permanent stoma also results from this procedure. In spite of satisfactory oncological control of disease, only a few institutions were able to achieve satisfactory results. Indications for ‘near total’ laryngectomy include: T3 or early T4 glottic carcinomas that did not involve the interarytenoid space or vocal process of the opposite arytenoid; T3 supraglottic carcinoma with a fixed vocal cord in which a supraglottic laryngectomy could not be performed; T2–3 pyriform sinus carcinomas; salvage after RT for early glottic lesions in which a hemilaryngectomy could not be performed; and in large hypopharyngeal lesions in which the larynx would be sacrificed to prevent aspiration. Complications associated with this procedure include aspiration, dilated shunt appendix, and inadequate tracheopharyngeal shunt function [49].

Five-year locoregional control rates for ‘near total’ laryngectomy patients ranges from 70.1 to 93% according to the international literature (table 7). These results could be explained by the inclusion of hypopharyngeal cancer patients in all series. Lung-powered ‘shunt’ voice is acquired in most patients from the international series ranging from 76 to 93%, in periods ranging from 7 to 28 days [50–55]. Larynx cancer patients seem to have a better oncological/functional outcome in comparison with hypopharyngeal cancer patients [50–53, 55].

Table 6. Reported local recurrence rate after RT, VPL or SCL-CHEP for glottic carcinoma with impaired motion or fixation of true vocal cord

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Treatment modality</th>
<th>Patients</th>
<th>Local failures, %</th>
<th>Follow-up years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kessler et al. [44]</td>
<td>1987</td>
<td>VPL</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robson et al. [45]</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>RT</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parsons et al. [46]</td>
<td>1993</td>
<td>RT</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chevalier et al. [47]</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>CHEP</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B.N.C.I.</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>CHEP</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VPL = Vertical partial laryngectomy; SCL-CHEP = supracricoid laryngectomy with cricothyroidoepiglottopexy; RT = radiotherapy.

Table 7. Disease-free survival rates in ‘near total’ laryngectomy for advanced laryngeal and hypopharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Patients</th>
<th>Disease-free survival, %</th>
<th>Follow-up years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shenoy et al. [50]</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pearson et al. [51]</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pradhan et al. [52]</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>70.1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bernaldez et al. [53]</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>72.4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B.N.C.I.</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>76.8</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Radical Surgery for Advanced Laryngeal Cancer

Total laryngectomy still remains as a gold standard for the treatment of advanced laryngeal cancer in many centers around the world. More advanced or the so-called ‘unfavorable’ laryngeal cancers often require total laryngectomy and bilateral neck dissection alone or combined with adjuvant RT. Advanced tumors involving the arytenoids or the interarytenoid space, tumors grossly involving the subglottic compartment (particularly in its posterolateral aspect), compromising the postcricoid area and/or lateral aspect of the hypopharynx are not amenable for partial/subtotal laryngectomies. Extralaryngeal spread (ELS) is a common finding as well as lymph node metastases. Gross subglottic extension and involvement of the paratracheal lymph nodes are considered important risk factors for tracheal stomal recurrence. Yuen et al. [56], in 1984, published a series of 185 patients with T3 glottic cancer treated with surgery alone (155 patients) or combined with adjuvant RT (30 patients). All patients had total laryngectomy. They found a 17% locoregional failure rate. DeSanto [57], in 1984, found an overall local and regional failure rate of 6 and 15%, respectively, in 104 T3 glottic cancer patients treat-
ed with radical surgery. Razack et al. [58], in 1989, on a retrospective series of 128 T3–4 glottic carcinoma patients treated by total laryngectomy alone (46%), or total laryngectomy plus neck dissection (54%) found a 53% 5-year disease-free survival rate. Mendenhall et al. [39], in 1992, comparing the results of surgery versus RT for advanced laryngeal cancer found a 75% locoregional control rate for patients who underwent total laryngectomy plus adjuvant RT.

In 2000 we presented our experience with 102 patients with stage III and IV laryngeal cancer who underwent a ‘wide field’ total laryngectomy with postoperative RT at the Brazilian National Cancer Institute. [59]. With the purpose of identifying possible factors of prognostic impact, patients were classified in: T3N0M0 (21/20.6%), T4N0M0 with CI (29/28.4%), T4N0M0 with ELS (25/24.5%), and T3–4N2M0 (27/26.5%). The incidence of patients in each group was similar in this cohort. Most of the patients (81.3%) had supraglottic or transglottic carcinomas. Comparison between the groups according to their 3-year disease-free survival revealed a statistically significant difference between the T3 and T4 (CI) groups in comparison with the T4 (ELS) and the N+ group. These findings confirm the adverse prognostic impact of regional metastases and, at the same time, question the importance of CI as a negative factor in surgically treated laryngeal cancer. The 80% disease-free survival rate achieved in Stage III and Stage IV (CI group) laryngeal carcinoma patients was significantly better in comparison with the 67% rate achieved in Stage IV (ELS and N+ groups) patients (p = 0.02). Five-year local control rates for advanced laryngeal cancer treated with total laryngectomy ranges from 53 to 83% (table 8).

### Table 8. Locoregional control in advanced laryngeal cancer patients treated with total laryngectomy

| Authors               | Year | Type of treatment | Patients | Locoregional control, % | stage 
|-----------------------|------|-------------------|----------|-------------------------|------
| Yuen et al. [56]      | 1984 | TL/TL + ND        | 185      | 83                      | III/IV* 
| DeSanto [57]         | 1984 | TL                | 106      | 79                      | III/IV* 
| Razack et al. [58]   | 1989 | TL/TL + ND        | 128      | 53                      | III/IV* 
| Mendenhall et al. [39]| 1992 | TL/RT             | 52       | 75                      | III/IV* 
| B.N.C.I. [59]        | 2000 | TL + ND + RT      | 102      | 67.6                    | III/IV  

TL = Total laryngectomy; ND = neck dissection; RT = radiotherapy.
* Mostly T3 carcinomas.

### Chemotherapy and Radiation Therapy for Advanced Laryngeal Cancer

Hong et al. [60] performed the first study on primary chemotherapy (CT) and organ preservation specifically with regard to laryngeal cancer. They found that CT response predicted RT response and, in addition to that, they observed that complete or partial response was a statistically important factor regarding local control rates. Their observations led to the design of the Veterans Affairs trial focusing on patients with resectable stage III and IV laryngeal cancer, usually treated with total laryngectomy. The estimated 3-year survival rates were 56% for the surgery-RT arm and 53% for the CT-RT arm group. There were no significant differences in survival based on larynx subsite or stage, but 62% of patients in the CT-RT arm were able to preserve their larynges. Predictive factors of higher control rates with CT plus RT includes moderately advanced stages, clinically negative neck, and effective response to CT. In 1991 Pfister et al. [61] and Wolf et al. [62] published their results with neoadjuvant CT (cisplatin-based) and RT. The first did a nonrandomized study of CT plus RT for laryngeal and pharyngeal carcinomas, while Wolf did a larger randomized study (VA laryngeal cancer study group). Both reached similar results in organ preservation (2-years w/larynx of 59 and 68%) and overall survival rates (2-years overall survival of 79 and 68%) showing no benefit in survival in comparison with the results of surgery plus RT. Many of the questions regarding the role of CT in the treatment of advanced laryngeal cancer are still unanswered, mainly because the heterogeneity of the series published in the literature, although most of the trials focused on survival with preservation of laryngeal function as the primary endpoint. Numerous randomized trials have compared RT with combinations of CT plus RT.
Most of these trials are platinum-based regimens, often in association with 5-FU and radical RT. Most of the trials demonstrated a significant benefit for CT plus RT both in survival rates as well as in locoregional control rates. Analysis, reported by El-Sayed and Nelson [63] in 1996 included 25 studies in which 4,075 patients with head and neck squamous cell carcinomas were randomized to receive local definitive therapy versus the same treatment with the addition of CT. Nine of the studies involved neoadjuvant CT, 5 involved neoadjuvant CT plus maintenance treatment, and 11 involved concurrent CT plus RT. The addition of CT reduced the mortality rate by 11% in the total group. Concurrent treatment reduced mortality by 22%. In the second meta-analysis known as MACH-NC (1998) [64], in France, the authors utilized updated data from 63 randomized trials including a total of 10,850 patients. Eight trials involved adjuvant CT, 31 involved neoadjuvant treatment, and 26 involved concurrent CT. Median follow-up was 6 years and CT rendered an absolute survival benefit of 4% (from 32 to 36%) at 5-year follow-up. Virtually all of that benefit had been derived from the concurrent trials in which there was absolute survival benefit of 8% at 5 years. A recently published phase III trial to preserve the larynx (The Intergroup Trial RTOG91-11) [65], compared induction CT and RT versus concomitant chemoradiation versus RT alone in 547 patients with stage III and IV potentially operable cancer of the larynx. Of note, initial laryngeal preservation was provided to the patients in all three groups but, differently from other similar studies, patients with large T4 tumors were excluded. The 2- and 5-year survival rates were similar among the three groups; however, patients treated with concurrent CT plus RT had higher rates of survival with a functioning larynx as well as higher rates of laryngeal preservation and local control in comparison with the other two groups. Although these data confirm that initial treatment aimed at laryngeal preservation is a realistic and feasible option for most patients with advanced/moderately advanced laryngeal cancer, there is a large group of patients with advanced laryngeal cancer who are candidates for organ-preserving surgical techniques, such as endoscopic transoral resection, and open partial or less-than-total laryngectomies avoiding total laryngectomy. It is important to mention that, by not including options involving less-than-total laryngectomy, authors may leave readers with the impression that total laryngectomy is the only surgical option for laryngeal cancer. It is the standard of care to discuss the surgical and nonsurgical organ-preserving options with every larynx cancer patient candidate for surgical approaches that preserve the larynx and thus allowing these patients to participate in the choice of appropriate treatment [66].

References


