Biological Agents and Psoriatic Epidermis: What Are We Ultimately Targeting?
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The typical psoriatic histology results from a markedly increased germinative compartment [1–3]. However, the mechanisms that drive keratinocyte hyperproliferation in psoriasis remain speculative. More particularly, it is controversial as to whether psoriasis results from a primary abnormality in epidermal keratinocytes with secondary inflammation (outside-in hypothesis) or from deregulation of the immune system leading to a psoriatic phenotype (inside-out hypothesis) [4]. The possible role of misguided T-cell responses in psoriasis emerged more than 25 years ago when cyclosporin A, an immunosuppressive agent targeting T cells, was found to improve psoriatic lesions [5]. This hypothesis was supported by the observation that psoriasis developed in a bone marrow recipient from a donor with psoriasis and, vice versa, psoriasis may not recur after bone marrow transplantation from healthy donors [6, 7]. Further research showed that T cells from patients with psoriasis could transfer disease in animal models [8]. In addition, there are increased levels of activated T lymphocytes in psoriatic skin plaques and blood of patients [9]. This evolving understanding of the role of T cells in psoriasis has provided a sound platform for the rational design of new biological agents and biological-immune-response modifiers that target specific steps in psoriasis-associated inflammation, i.e., inhibition of T-cell activation, depletion of pathogenic T cells, inhibition of leukocyte recruitment, inhibition of inflammatory cytokines and immune deviation from Th1 to Th2 cytokines. Accumulative clinical data support the use of some of these agents as efficient molecules for the control of psoriasis flares or for the maintenance of disease clearing. Three of these agents – etanercept, which is a soluble tumor necrosis factor (TNF), alefacept (LFA3TIP) and efalizumab (anti-CD11a), both of which act via inhibition of T-cell activation – have been licensed for the treatment of moderate to severe chronic plaque psoriasis in the USA as well as in some European countries [10]. Several others (e.g. other TNF antagonists such as infliximab and adalimumab) are in the final phase of clinical development [10]. These drugs are considered to be more targeted and to have fewer side effects than conventional antipsoriasis systemic medications [10]. However, a diversity of side effects, commonly involving the skin, is being reported with the increasing use of these drugs [11]. In addition, their role in the context of existing standard therapies, particularly with respect to efficacy and long-term toxicity, is uncertain. More particu-
larly, most of these therapies targeting T cells are not disease remitting but disease suppressing, as psoriasis begins to recur or flares shortly after course completion [10]. Indeed, among the most promising biological agents (alefacept, efalizumab, etanercept and infliximab), only alefacept has been shown to produce long remissions and has been categorised as disease remitting [10]. However, it is not as effective as cyclosporin A or methotrexate [10].

While there is no doubt that the primary target of these molecules are T cells, it remains unclear how these agents lead to the regression of epidermal hyperplasia which remains the hallmark of the psoriatic phenotype. Several pathways could be conceptually considered. (1) As supernatants from subpopulations of lesional psoriatic T lymphocytes are capable of enhancing keratinocyte proliferation in vitro [12, 13], it is commonly assumed that increased epidermal proliferation in psoriasis results from a decrease in epidermal cell cycle time and, inversely, that antipsoriatic agents might act through a reduction of cell cycle time of the germinative cells. However, accumulative evidence shows that the psoriatic abnormality is not due to a reduction in the cell cycle time [14, 15]. Accordingly, mathematical simulations show that a decrease in germinative cell cycle time cannot alone reduce the increased size of the germinative compartment that characterises psoriatic lesions [1]. Furthermore, the exact mechanisms by which cytokines secreted by activated T cells affect keratinocyte proliferation needs further clarification. TNF-α and IFN-γ, two of the major cytokines involved in the psoriatic tissue reaction, are inhibitors rather than stimulators of human keratinocyte growth [16–18]. However, they can induce expression of proliferative genes downstream [19]. (2) Induction of keratinocyte apoptosis appears to be a more likely mechanism involved in the reduction of epidermal hyperplasia. Keratinocytes within psoriatic plaques have a capacity to resist induction of apoptosis and have abundant amounts of the cell survival protein Bcl-xL [20, 21]. This resistance to apoptosis may be due to a modulatory effect of some cytokines, such as IFN-γ [13]. Supporting this hypothesis, various therapeutic agents active in psoriasis (anthralin, methotrexate, PUVA therapy ...) have been shown to lead to apoptosis of germinative cells [22, 23]. However, there are so far no data on the eventual induction of keratinocyte apoptosis by biologicals in psoriasis. (3) A last possibility is that antipsoriatic therapies ultimately act at the level of transit-amplifying cells. A decrease in the proliferative potential of the transit-amplifying compartment would have a dramatic effect on the number of keratinocytes: one less round of cell division would divide by two the number of cells in the germinative compartment. However, even at this point several possibilities exist: antipsoriatic agents could drive basal transit-amplifying cells to the G0 phase of the cell cycle by interfering with a vicious (autocrine) loop, inhibit proliferation of late transit-amplifying cells in the suprabasal layer or promote normal differentiation of the suprabasal compartment.

There is so far no evidence that biologicals act on the primum movens of psoriasis. Rather, most of the new developments might only target single steps in a complex cascade of humoral and cellular inflammatory immunomodulation. More particularly, it remains controversial whether psoriasis is triggered and propagated by the T cell or within the epidermis with immunological consequences [24]. Recently elaborated mouse models based on abrogation of JunB/activator protein 1 or on activation of mitogen-activated protein kinase or of cell-signaling molecules such as Stat3 in keratinocytes produce conditions very similar to human psoriasis, indicating that skin lesions may develop independently of immune cells and suggesting that the outside-in and inside-out hypotheses are not mutually exclusive [4, 25–27].

In summary, biological agents have emerged as novel therapeutic options for the control of moderate-to-severe psoriasis. Given the widespread patient dissatisfaction with standard treatments [28], the demand is likely to be high. However, there is so far no evidence that these agents target psoriasis more specifically than agents acting directly on keratinocytes. Their use is also hindered by their high costs, by their potential adverse events, by their varying efficacies and by the relapse of the disease upon discontinuation. Because epidermal keratinocytes and T cells may pair up to generate psoriasis, therapies focusing both on the epidermis and immune cells may be useful. In this perspective, a combination of biologicals with therapies directly targeting epidermal alterations seems rational and may allow for improved therapeutic efficacy with fewer side effects.
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