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Abstract
Intima-media thickness (IMT) is increasingly used as a surrogate end point of vascular outcomes in clinical trials aimed at determining the success of interventions that lower risk factors for atherosclerosis and associated diseases (stroke, myocardial infarction and peripheral artery diseases). The necessity to promote further criteria to distinguish early atherosclerotic plaque formation from thickening of IMT and to standardize IMT measurements is expressed through this updated consensus. Plaque is defined as a focal structure that encroaches into the arterial lumen of at least 0.5 mm or 50% of the surrounding IMT value or demonstrates a thickness >1.5 mm as measured from the media-adventitia interface to the intima-lumen interface. Standard use of IMT measurements is based on physics, technical and disease-related principles as well as agreements on how to perform, interpret and document study results. Harmonization of carotid image acquisition and analysis is needed for the comparison of the IMT results obtained from epidemiological and interventional studies around the world. The consensus concludes that there is no need to ‘treat IMT values’ nor to monitor IMT values in individual patients apart from exceptions named, which emphasize that inside randomized clinical trials should be performed. Although IMT has been suggested to represent an important risk marker, according to the current evidence it does not fulfill the characteristics of an accepted risk factor. Standardized methods recommended in this consensus statement will foster homogenous data collection and analysis. This will help to improve the power of randomized clinical trials incorporating IMT measurements and to facilitate the merging of large databases for meta-analyses.

Introduction

Decades of silent arterial wall alterations precede vascular clinical events, which then reflect advanced atherosclerotic disease. The first morphological abnormalities of arterial walls can be visualized by B-mode ultrasonography. This high-resolution, noninvasive technique is one of the best methods for the detection of early stages of atherosclerotic disease, because it is rapidly applicable, readily available and demonstrates the wall structure
with better resolution than any other similar technique (e.g. magnetic resonance imaging). Accordingly, ultrasound has been used in a number of studies to monitor the intima-media thickness (IMT) of the carotid arteries, a measurement which has consequently been shown to be associated with cardiovascular risk factors and the incidence of cardiovascular disease. However, these measurements are not strictly associated with individual risks of stroke, myocardial infarction and peripheral artery disease. Furthermore, there are diverse approaches for measuring IMT, and some of these may lead to divergent results. Moreover, there are no unified criteria for distinguishing atherosclerosis as seen in early plaque formation from thickening of the intimal-medial complex. This is because IMT reflects not only early atherosclerosis, but also nonatherosclerotic compensatory enlargement with largely medial hypertrophy as a result of smooth muscle cell hyperplasia and fibrocellular hypertrophy. This differentiation is important because epidemiological studies have shown that wall thickening as depicted by ultrasonographic measurements of IMT is different from atherosclerotic plaque regarding localization, natural history, risk factors and predictive value for vascular events. As IMT is being increasingly used in clinical trials to serve as a surrogate end point for determining the success of interventions that lower risk factors for atherosclerosis, it is imperative that standardized methods be used to allow homogenous data collection and analysis. This would help to improve the power of such studies and to facilitate the merging of large databases for meta-analyses.

This consensus statement addresses the important issue of standardization of carotid IMT measurements and seeks to clarify problems related to the classification of early atherosclerotic lesions. It is an update of the Mannheim IMT consensus document published in 2004.

**IMT and Early Plaque**

In the absence of atherosclerotic plaque, B-mode ultrasound displays the vascular wall as a regular pattern that correlates with anatomical layers. The intima-media portion of this pattern is represented by the area of tissue starting at the luminal edge of the artery and ending at the boundary between the media and the adventitia. This interface is well depicted by ultrasound. With increasing age, this pattern has been shown to thicken in a uniform way in straight arterial segments. Thickening of the intima-media is accelerated and enhanced in the presence of risk factors of atherosclerosis, particularly high blood pressure, and by inherited genetic factors. As a mirror of these processes, IMT was identified as a tool to investigate normal aging and preclinical atherosclerosis. Later stages of atherosclerosis (plaque, stenosis, occlusion) can also be identified by ultrasound imaging either in the absence of or coincident with increasing IMT. However, there are intermediate stages between increased IMT and atherosclerotic plaque formation that cannot clearly be differentiated either by ultrasound or by histological examination. Such conditions are common at the bifurcation and the origin of the internal carotid artery, but occur only occasionally in the common carotid artery (CCA). Epidemiological and intervention studies have shown that although both share some common atherosclerosis risk factors, the natural history, patterns of risk factors and the prediction of cardiac and cerebral events are different for carotid IMT and carotid plaque.

The consensus recommends the following definitions for ultrasound characterization of IMT and atherosclerotic plaque:

1. IMT is a double-line pattern visualized by echotomography on both walls of the CCAs in a longitudinal image. It is formed by two parallel lines, which consist of the leading edges of two anatomical boundaries: the lumen-intima and media-adventitia interfaces.

2. Plaque is a focal structure encroaching into the arterial lumen of at least 0.5 mm or 50% of the surrounding IMT value, or demonstrates a thickness >1.5 mm as measured from the media-adventitia interface to the intimal-lumen interface.

These definitions will allow classification of the vast majority of carotid lesions observed with ultrasound.

Carotid examination includes visualization of common, internal and external carotid arteries. The different ultrasound devices are continuously evolving, providing higher spatial and density resolutions, and improving information on all the structures visualized is waiting for a scientific validation of the superiority of 3-dimensional over 2-dimensional imaging. We propose some rules to improve 2-dimensional image acquisition based on ultrasound physics and basic anatomy.

Ultrasound physics principles:
- Ultrasound beam is a virtual biconcave lens which is thicker on the near and distal part of the field represented on the screen. For that reason, the best resolution is commonly obtained in the mid part of this field.
- Perpendicularity between the ultrasound beam and the structures to be visualized provides the best information as the reflection of the incident beam is optimal.
- The energy of the ultrasound beam decreases with the distance and is usually reduced in the far part of the field.
- Frequency of emission determines the resolution. The higher the frequency, the higher the resolution, however, frequency is inversely proportional to the depth to explore.
- Linear transducers activate piezo-electric crystals simultaneously resulting in a synchronized propagation of the ultrasound wave. Sector scanners are typically activating crystals sequentially in pre-defined time intervals, causing asymmetric shifts and reception of skewed wave fronts. Linear ultrasound transducers therefore provide best image quality for superficial arteries, while electronic sector scanners are better used for deep structures with less accessibility.

Physiological and pathophysiological principles:
- Common and internal carotid arteries are quite homogeneous in structure and hemodynamics. Delimited at the origin by the brachio-arterial cephalic trunk on the right side and the aortic arch on the left side, the CCA ends at the bifurcation which is represented anatomically by the point of divergence of the walls of the CCA (fig. 1). The bifurcation is rather heterogeneous between individuals. Since atherosclerosis usually starts in the carotid bulb, delineation of the different segments is required.
- The continuous related progression of vascular wall changes best monitored in CCA IMT studies is different from discontinuous focal lesions (plaque) which are characteristic of atherosclerotic disease. Therefore, distinction between IMT and plaque must be clearly specified in the scanning protocols.

Where to Measure?

The CCA can be assessed in nearly every patient. Successful examination of the internal carotid artery and of the carotid bulb depends both upon the anatomical topography of the patient and on sonographer expertise.

1. Measurement of IMT is most simply performed in a region free of plaque where the double-line pattern is observed – this is advantageous as measurements are easier, more accurate, reproducible and can be standardized by computerized analyses.
2. IMT can be measured in the CCA, at the bulb and the origin of the internal carotid artery.
3. In study designs that include wall thickness, values obtained from different sites of the carotid arteries should be documented separately.

How to Analyze?

CCA acquisition with ultrasound should be conducted as follows.

Standard equipment includes a high-resolution B-mode system operating with preferentially linear ultrasound transducers at frequencies >7 MHz. Appropriate depth of focus (e.g. 30–40 mm), frame rate optimally 25 Hz (>15 Hz), and gain settings (minimal intraluminal artifacts) are recommended to obtain optimal image quality. Log gain compensation should be around 60 dB. They must be adjusted to obtain a symmetrical brightness on near and far wall, decreasing if necessary the gain in the mid part of the field to avoid intraluminal artifacts.

Carotid IMT scanning and reading protocol recommendations:

1. The arterial wall segments should be assessed in a longitudinal view, strictly perpendicular to the ultrasound beam, with both walls clearly visualized in order to achieve diameter measurements. Lateral probe incidence is recommended as it offers the best view in the middle field, where the resolution is known to be greater than in the near or far field. A horizontal display of the arterial image is also recommended to obtain the optimal interfaces between blood and vascular structures, on the longest possible segment. The localization of the end of the CCA is necessary to help in repositioning during the follow-up.
2. IMT should be measured preferably on the far wall. This is because IMT values from the near wall depend in part on gain settings and are less reliable. If taken on the near wall, IMT should be measured separately from IMT of the far wall.
3. Along a minimum of 10 mm length of an arterial segment, a high-quality image acquisition is required for serial reproducible measurements. Due to vessel tortuosity, IMT measurement could only be possible at a shorter vessel segment, especially in the carotid bifurcation or the ICA bulb.
4. Edge detection systems that are properly calibrated provide accurate measurements of IMT. Observations made by readers may be equally valid, but they require rigorous quality control and quality assurance. In addition, manual and semi-manual reading methods are extremely time consuming in comparison to automated systems, which can provide the mean maximal value of 150 measurements performed on a 10-mm segment of CCA in a very short time (<0.1 s).
(5) Adventitia-to-adventitia diameter and intraluminal diameter of CCA must also be measured as IMT is significantly correlated with the arterial diameter.

(6) Another important issue is the question which IMT measure (e.g. mean IMT value, maximal IMT value, composite measures from the left and the right side or from different arterial sites) should be used. There is no definite answer to this question. It seems to be plausible that mean IMT values as averaged across the entire distance are less susceptible to outliers, whereas the maximal IMT may reflect more advanced stages with focal thickening towards plaque formation. It is accepted that IMT values from the left and right side could be averaged although there is a significant difference between the left and right CCA IMT, with higher values on the left side [Foerch et al., 2003]. Composite scores including both plaque and IMT measure should be avoided. In conclusion, data acquisition should be as detailed as possible, and data analysis can be restricted to single measures to reduce multiple testing problems.

(7) Each vascular laboratory must perform periodical quality control of their equipment (phantom scans) and reliability studies of scans and measurements for ultrasonographers and readers. The intraclass correlation coefficient should be evaluated for intra- and interobserver variability, both for IMT and plaque measurements.

**Whom to Assess?**

Standard and regular use of IMT measurements are recommended in all epidemiological and interventional trials dealing with vascular diseases to better characterize the population investigated (similarly to the documentation of other risk factors). Determination of 'normal values' should help to better characterize 'intermediate' risk populations in the future (fig. 2). The moderate but significant correlation between IMT and Framingham score observed in a large population (PARC Study) raises the question of the best indicator for vascular event
in individuals. IMT measurement is the first candidate to evaluate against conventional evaluation of cardiovascular risk. In some countries recommendations already suggest that carefully performed IMT measurement can add incremental information to traditional risk factor assessment (European Society of Cardiology Guidelines).

Ancillary studies in clinical trials should be sufficiently powered to contribute to evaluating the predictive value of IMT on reduction of clinical events.

There is no recommendation up to date to ‘treat IMT values’ nor to monitor IMT values in individual patients.

Who Should Analyze?

In clinical trials, several additional requirements are recommended to assure data harmonization. They can be performed through an expert IMT panel as usually represented in the Steering Committees.

Clinical trials using IMT and plaque as intermediate outcomes need to address the following:

(1) study design,
(2) study control,
(3) full image monitoring,
(4) statistical plan analysis,
(5) data monitoring (from CRO and Core Lab),
(6) result analysis

and define:

(1) inclusion criteria for the participating ultrasound centers,
(2) equipment installation if necessary,
(3) sonographers’ training and performance control,
(4) certification of the centers (equipment and sonographers),
(5) quality assurance and quality control,
(6) central reading facilities,
(7) evaluation of data variability through intraclass correlation coefficient.

All these procedures can reduce measurement variability, which is a key parameter for a high-quality study, statistical power and sample size determination.

Which End Point to Analyze?

In studies where clinical outcome parameters are defined, IMT, measured separately if bifurcation and bulb are concerned, and plaque measurements should be included as secondary end points. In studies without clini-
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