Management of Mild Graves’ Orbitopathy
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What Is the Degree of Intra-Orbital Involvement in Mild Graves’ Orbitopathy?

Mild GO is usually diagnosed based on the assessment of soft tissue inflammation, in particular eyelid and conjunctival edema and hyperaemia, mild proptosis (<3 mm above normal for race and gender) and only minor, if any, eye muscle involvement [1] (fig. 1) [see chapter by Dickinson, pp. 1–25]. In a proportion of patients, eye muscles may be significantly involved [2], although motility tests may not reveal the actual degree of inflammation unless orbital imaging is performed [3, 4]. Orbital changes in mild GO are sometimes uniquely limited to eye muscles in the absence of soft tissue inflammation [5]. Recent work has shown that eye muscle enlargement by itself may be more significantly correlated to proptosis than retroocular fat and connective tissue hypertrophy [6].

Are Mild Forms of Graves’ Orbitopathy Likely to Progress to More Severe Graves’ Orbitopathy?

Progression of GO occurs during the active phase of the disease and although several studies have sought indicators for predicting response to treatment [7–13], very few of the available data have proven useful to predict progression of GO from mild to more severe forms at the first clinical examination. To date, the most reliable method of predicting potential progression of GO relies on clinical monitoring of patients by calculating at each examination the CAS and classifying severity by NOSPECS [13, 14]. Recent work from Eckstein et al. [15] has shown that severity of GO can be predicted based on the serum levels of TRAb at 5–8 months from disease onset, but does not provide data on the number of patients with mild GO progressing to severe disease. The few data available on spontaneous progression of mild forms of GO can
be drawn from two randomized controlled studies on the efficacy of radiotherapy, by looking at the follow-up data of the sham-irradiated control groups [16, 17]. In both these studies progression was observed in 15–16% of patients. Progression of mild GO may also occur after radioactive iodine thyroid ablation for recurrence of hyperthyroidism in a limited number of patients who are to be considered at risk because of smoking, high serum TRAb and active disease [18]. Patients with mild GO account for approximately 40% of all patients with GO seen within the multidisciplinary centres of the EUGOGO [1].

Is a ‘Wait and See’ Policy Justified in Mild Graves’ Orbitopathy?

There are few studies addressing the issue of spontaneous evolution of GO. Perros et al. [19] have observed that up to 64% of patients with GO not subjected to therapy improved spontaneously when assessed at 3-monthly intervals. More recently,
in a slightly larger series of 81 patients treated only with local protective agents, spontaneous improvement was observed in about 47% independently of the degree of severity according to NOSPECS (classes 2–4) [20]. In the latter study, patients with mild disease who improved with no or only local therapy were 46%, whereas another 51% remained unchanged and only one worsened. In sham-irradiated control patients from randomized studies on the effect of radiotherapy, a spontaneous improvement was observed in about 30% [16, 17]. Data from the EUGOGO centres show that about 44% patients with mild GO were indeed advised specific treatment, perhaps in relation to the reported decrease of quality of life [1] [see chapter by Wiersinga, pp. 211–220]. Reasonable arguments for treating mild GO may be based on: (1) the involvement of eye muscles, often unrecognized unless orbital imaging is performed, and solely associated to mild proptosis, as observed in some studies (see above, ‘What is the degree of intra-orbital involvement in mild Graves’ orbitopathy?’); (2) the chances of progression, probably very low but not clearly predictable; (3) the patient’s quality of life deterioration, and (4) the physician’s concern about the degree of residual disease. On the other hand, arguments for not treating may also rely on: (1) the potential side effects of either steroids or radiotherapy; (2) the outcome of therapy and its actual impact on residual disease; (3) the possibility of spontaneous disease improvement; (4) the concern about the cost-effectiveness of treatment (table 1). While ‘waiting and seeing,’ patients can be managed with supportive measures: these are in fact effective in most patients. For instance, patients can control symptoms of dry eyes with lubricating eye drops and can obviate marked lid retraction by taping their eyes shut at night to avoid excessive irritation and corneal damage. Patients should also be advised to eliminate the modifiable risk factors, such as smoking and an uncontrolled underlying thyroid dysfunction.

Table 1. Management of mild Graves’ ophthalmopathy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>To treat or not to treat?</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reasons for treating</td>
<td>eye muscle involvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>disease progression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>deterioration of patients’ quality of life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>chances of relevant residual orbital disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reasons for not treating</td>
<td>adverse effects of treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>questionable efficacy on the degree of residual disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>spontaneous improvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>cost-effectiveness</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1.

Management of Mild GO

To treat or not to treat?

Reasons for treating:
- eye muscle involvement
- disease progression
- deterioration of patients’ quality of life
- chances of relevant residual orbital disease

Reasons for not treating:
- adverse effects of treatment
- questionable efficacy on the degree of residual disease
- spontaneous improvement
- cost-effectiveness
Are Low-Dosage Oral Steroids Advisable or Is Orbital Irradiation Preferable?

Both therapies have a non-specific anti-inflammatory action as well as a limited immunosuppressive effect on orbital lymphocytes. The response to steroids is typically seen in 1–2 weeks and is characterized by improvement in soft tissue signs and ocular motility. Steroids are effective at high doses and, since their use is associated with morbidity even when administered intravenously, they are generally not indicated in mild GO [21]. A low-dosage therapeutic regimen of oral prednisone has only been used with a satisfactory effect in the prevention of occurrence or progression of GO after radioactive iodine administration, although a short course of low-dose intravenous steroids might be even more effective or preferred for better compliance [22]. The therapeutic response to orbital irradiation is first seen at 2–3 weeks but a more gradual improvement is evident for several months [23]. Unless concomitant steroid treatment is used, short-term increased inflammation may initially appear as a side effect of the radiation, thereby masking the improvement in soft tissue involvement. The major advantage of orbital radiotherapy is the lack of complications. The question is if it is really effective in reducing the period of disease activity and the need for rehabilitative surgery, when the disease is burnt out. Two controlled studies have reported a significant effect of radiotherapy in GO, but were performed in patients with moderate-to-severe forms of the disease [16, 24]. Gorman et al. [25], by irradiating only one orbit and using the other one as an internal control, did not show any significant effect of therapy on the volumes of eye muscles measured by orbital CT scan in patients with mild GO. Although these negative results could have been due to the inclusion of patients with inactive disease, a proportion of whom had also been treated with steroids, that study has questioned the opportunity to treat mild GO with radiotherapy [26]. A recent randomized and controlled study by Prummel et al. [17] has shown that orbital radiotherapy is effective in mild GO, and improvement, mainly on eye motility, was observed in 52% of irradiated compared to 27% of non-irradiated patients, likely due to the effect on eye muscle infiltrating lymphocytes. They suggest that changes in the function of eye muscles may be more relevant than those of volume when one wants to assess response to treatment in GO. It is of interest that in this study control patients with mild GO, who were sham-irradiated, showed improvement, no change or worsening of disease in line with what was reported in the study on the natural history by Perros et al. [19]. Unfortunately, no conclusive answer could be given as to whether radiotherapy is better than a ‘wait and see’ approach in mild GO, since treatment did not improve the quality of life of patients and was not cost effective. In addition, radiotherapy did not prevent disease worsening, observed in about 15% of patients, and these data argues against an immunosuppressive effect of this treatment.
Can We Reassure Patients about the Long-Term Safety of Orbital Irradiation?

Radiotherapy is well tolerated and has almost no short-term side effects, except for an acute exacerbation of soft tissue inflammation [27]. Potential long-term complications of irradiation have been a major concern for its use in GO, particularly in milder forms. While radiation-induced tumours have not been observed in GO patients [28, 29], several reports of severe retinopathy have been reported, either induced by dosimetric and technique errors [30] or because of coexisting diabetes [31]. Increased cataract induction is also a concern since the lens is within the radiation beam [32]. Two recent retrospective studies have produced reassuring evidence on the long-term safety of radiotherapy in GO (table 2). Marcocci et al. [33] have studied 204 patients with moderate-to-severe GO and observed a prevalence of cataract of 10% in patients irradiated with a high voltage linear accelerator, a figure comparable to the incidence of cataract in a non-GO population of the same age. Possible radiation-induced retinopathy was detected in only 2 patients both with associated hypertension and one who also had diabetes. Wakelkamp et al. [34] conducted a follow-up study on 245 patients with moderate-to-severe GO treated with radiotherapy and found a prevalence of cataract of 29%, no different from the prevalence of 34% observed in GO patients treated with steroids only. Retinal changes were seen more frequently in irradiated than non-irradiated eyes (21 vs. 2%); however, these changes consisted mostly of 1–5 microaneurysms that did not interfere with visual acuity. Orbital irradiation was only associated with retinopathy in diabetic patients (relative risk 21, 95% confidence interval 3–179). Diabetes mellitus is, therefore, a contraindication for orbital radiotherapy. Both these latter studies [33, 34] did not show

**Table 2. Adverse effects of radiotherapy in Graves’ ophthalmopathy**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Occurrence</th>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>Patients affected</th>
<th>Management</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Short term</td>
<td>transient worsening of soft tissue inflammation and hair loss at the temples</td>
<td>many</td>
<td>possibly steroids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long term</td>
<td>cataract induction</td>
<td>5% (&gt;60 years of age)*</td>
<td>avoid steroids, may also cause cataract</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>definite radiation retinopathy</td>
<td>0.9–2% hypertensive, diabetic patients</td>
<td>avoid treating diabetic patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>secondary cancer</td>
<td>none (median follow-up 25 years)</td>
<td>avoid treating patients &lt;35 years of age</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*From Marcocci et al. [32]: patients were also treated with steroids.*
radiation-induced tumours or increased mortality, although the duration of follow-up (median 11 years) may not be long enough to rule out an increased lifetime risk of cancer. From these data, it seems reasonable to reassure patients about the long-term safety of orbital radiotherapy, which can be proposed as a treatment option in patients with mild GO, with the exception of those with diabetes and hypertension or younger than 35 years of age [33].

**What Is the Rationale for Antioxidant Therapy in GO?**

Oxygen free radicals (OFR) have been reported to be involved in the pathogenesis of GO. Studies that have linked the high prevalence of smokers to GO [35] have shown that smoking causes hypoxia within the organ tissues involved in the orbital changes of the disease [36]. OFR have been shown to be present in orbital tissues and to be involved in IL-1β-induced glycosaminoglycans accumulation [37]. OFR also induced expression of heat-shock protein 72 in retroocular fibroblasts of patients with GO [38] and caused their proliferation, which could in part be inhibited by methimazole, allopurinol and nicotinamide [39]. Indices of OFR generation have been found to be increased in the serum of patients with GO and were normalized by corticosteroid therapy [40]. Despite this relevant experimental evidence, data on the clinical use of antioxidants in GO are limited. In a controlled non-randomized study vs. placebo, Bouzas et al. [41] were able to show a significant improvement in NOSPECS signs in patients treated with allopurinol and nicotinamide for 3 months. The improvement was satisfactory for soft tissue signs and motility, but not for proptosis reduction. Interestingly, there were no reported side effects and the patients were all smokers. This poses the question whether smokers are more susceptible to benefit from antioxidants or, alternatively, whether non-smokers would have even a greater beneficial effect from these drugs. Pentoxifylline is a cytokine-modulating drug and is also regarded as an antioxidant. Its effect on 10 patients with active moderate-to-severe GO has been examined in a pilot non-controlled study by Balazs et al. [42]. Eight patients showed soft tissue improvement, but no change in proptosis and ocular motility. More recently, a placebo-controlled, randomized study on 18 women with inactive GO showed significant proptosis reduction and improvement in quality of life in those treated with pentoxifylline compared to placebo [43]. In contrast to most pharmacological therapies aimed to control active GO, in this study pentoxifylline seems to offer an alternative to surgical treatment of inactive disease. Some interventional studies have tested the hypothesis that selenium administration may have a beneficial effect on autoimmune thyroiditis [44]. In a recent study, Wertenbruch et al. [45] found the highest serum selenium concentrations (>120 μg/l) in GD patients undergoing remission, indicating a positive effect of selenium levels on the outcome of Graves’ hyperthyroidism. A EUGOGO multicenter randomized controlled trial has just been completed, in which patients with mild GO were randomized to take
daily oral doses of pentoxifylline (1,200 mg), selenium selenite (200 μg) or placebo for 6 months. The results of the study were evaluated after 12 months of follow-up and presented at a recent symposium [46]. Selenium treatment induced significant improvement in GO in a greater number of patients than pentoxifylline and placebo at both 6- and 12-month follow-ups. The same was true for the subjective clinical outcome measured by the GO-Qol questionnaire. Antioxidants might become an interesting option in the treatment of mild GO, perhaps in preventing progression to more severe forms.
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