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Abstract
Objective: To report clinical and radiological outcomes of cemented and cementless total hip arthroplasty for primary and secondary osteoarthritis with a deficiency of acetabular bone stock. Subject and Methods: Thirty-seven patients (16 male and 21 female) having 41 hips affected by primary and secondary acetabular bone stock defect that were operated using cemented (n = 25) and cementless (n = 16) hip replacement were followed for an average period of 37 (range 12–100) months. Bone defect was classified according to American Academy of Orthopedic Surgery criteria. Different types of bone graft techniques and metal reinforcements were used. Merle d’Aubigné clinical score was used to calculate clinical outcomes. Geometrical position of the acetabular component, cup integration, hip center and graft integration were assessed. Results: The mean clinical score improved significantly from 10.6 patients preoperatively to 16.7 patients postoperatively; 95% of the cups were in the desired position and were considered integrated and the bone graft remodeled in most cases. There were 2 deep infections, 1 dislocation and 2 cases of transient neurological deficit. No significant differences in final clinical and radiological outcomes, rate of loosening and rate of complications were found between cemented and cementless acetabular replacements. Conclusion: The results show that reconstruction of acetabular bone deficiency can be accomplished with few complications using either a cemented or cementless acetabular component with or without grafting according to the clinical situation.
a nonphysiological position [7, 8]. Structural bone grafting using massive allografts and impacted grafting using morcellized autogenous and allogenous bone are gradually being superseded by a cementless megacup made of titanium or tantalum with osteoinductive capacity [9, 10]. We report our experience of total hip replacement for primary or secondary osteoarthritis with deficient acetabulum in Al Razi Hospital, Kuwait.

Material and Methods

Among 210 patients operated for total hip arthroplasty for various pathologies during the period 1994–2006, there were 41 cases of primary and secondary acetabular deficiency, 16 male (16 hips) and 21 female (25 hips) with an average age of 43 years, ranging from 21 to 84 years with 4 cases of bilateral hip replacement. The average follow-up was 37 months, ranging from 12 to 100 months. The right hip was affected in 24 cases, the left in 17 cases. There was posttraumatic acetabular defect due to acetabular fracture in 14 cases; in 16, nontraumatic pathology was present, and in 11 cases the acetabular bone stock was deficient because of loosening of the previously implanted acetabular component. The defect was classified according to the AAOS classification system [6]. Defect type Ia was found in 6 cases, Ib in 5, type II in 16 and type III in 14 cases. There was no case of defect type IV. The hip was approached posteriorly in 14 cases and transglutally in the remaining 27. In 16 cases the surgical technique included cementless acetabular replacement and cemented acetabular replacement in 25. A Bicon threaded shell (Plus Orthopedics, Rotkreuz, Switzerland) was used in 15 cases for cementless replacement, and EPF Press-Fit shell (Plus Orthopedics) was used in 1 case. For cementless implants, a Plus Endoprothetik (Rotkreuz, Switzerland) polyethylene insert was used in 14 and ceramic inserts in 2 cases. In cementless hip replacement, a 28-mm diameter metal head and a ceramic head were used in 4 and 12 cases, respectively. In cemented implants, all femoral heads were Orthinox Stainless Steel 28 diameter heads (Stryker-Howmedica, UK). Similarly, there were 25 cemented and 16 cementless femoral components. All 25 cemented femoral stainless steel stems were produced by Stryker-Howmedica, UK, and all 16 cementless titanium double-taper SL stems were products of Plus Endoprothetik, Rotkreuz, Switzerland. The clinical outcome was assessed according to the Merle d’Aubigné hip score [11]. Radiological assessment involved geometrical position of the cup, hip center, and signs of integration of the cup and bone graft. In cases of cemented cup integration, assessment was based on the absence of radiolucent or radiodense lines in the cement/bone and implant/cement interfaces. The cementless titanium shell was considered integrated when no gaps and lucencies were present in the bone/implant interface. Graft integration was assessed according to the presence or absence of a distinctive line between the graft and host bone.

Comparison of clinical and radiological outcomes and statistical significance was analyzed using SPSS package for Windows version 11.5. The numerical data were analyzed using paired-samples t test if all patients were analyzed; independent-sample t test for equality of means was used when two groups (i.e. cemented vs. cementless) were studied. Categorical data were analyzed using chi-square test.

Results

Clinical results, rated in Merle d’Aubigné score, improved in all aspects of assessed parameters: pain, range of movement, and walking capacity (table 1). The average scores of pain, range of movement, and walking capacity for the entire population of patients before surgery were 3.4, 3.6 and 3.5, respectively, while the corresponding scores for the same group at the last follow-up were 5.7, 5.6 and 5.3, respectively. Hence, the total preoperative scores were 10.6 and 11.5, respectively, and the improvement in clinical outcome was statistically significant (p < 0.01), using paired samples (t test). Comparison of initial clinical results between the cemented and cementless groups using independent sample t test for equality of means similarly revealed significant differences (p < 0.01). Final clinical results did not reveal significant differences between the two groups (table 1).

The radiological results showed that 39 (95%) acetabular cups were implanted at an optimal inclination (30–50°). Anteversion was not assessed in this group, as a reliable method of assessment was not available, which concerns the cementless titanium shell in particular. In 2 (5%) cases, the cup was intentionally implanted in a horizontal position; there was no case of a vertical position of the acetabular component. The acetabular component was implanted at the anatomical level in 39 cases (95%). In 2 cases, the cup was implanted intentionally in a high hip center position in order to impact the cementless shell in healthy host bone. The vertical displacement did not exceed 3.5 cm. The acetabular component was considered

Table 1. Comparison of preoperative and final clinical parameters measured according to Merle d’Aubigne score in cemented and cementless replacement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical parameter</th>
<th>Clinical score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>cemented cup</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain preoperatively</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain final</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROM preoperatively</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROM final</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking preoperatively</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking final</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total score preoperatively</td>
<td>10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total score final</td>
<td>16.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ROM = Range of movement.

* p < 0.01.
integrated in 39 cases (95%). All cementless cups were integrated; one cemented cup had lucency in two zones and one cup was loose. In 9 cases, no graft was used, in 30 the graft was integrated and in 2, graft resorption was found (fig. 1–5). Complications included 1 dislocation that was treated conservatively, 2 cases of deep infection, 1 case of deep vein thrombosis, and 2 cases of transient femoral nerve palsy, which resolved within 6 weeks. The initial clinical score of patients treated with a cemented cup was significantly inferior compared with the same

Fig. 1. Combined defect treated by cementless press-fit cup without bone graft. High hip center.

Fig. 2. A case of cavitary posttraumatic defect treated by cementless hip replacement with threaded Bicon cup. Defect filled with cancellous graft and compressed with the cementless shell.

Fig. 3. Combined defect treated by impaction grafting, metal reinforcement mesh and cemented cup.

Fig. 4. Combined defect of acetabular bone treated by bone graft, reinforcement Mueller ring and cemented cup.

Fig. 5. Resorption of the bulky femoral head graft fixed with screws.
Discussion

Acetabular deficiency affects primary and revision hip arthroplasty. Classification of acetabular bone defect is the subject of debate. Intraobserver and interobserver reliability is poor according to a number of studies [6, 12]. The AAOS classification was used for both primary and secondary defects because it is relatively simple and adaptable to our material consisting of various types of bone defect. The cases were classified as moderate in severity, as nearly 70% were segmental and cavitary and about 30% were combined, mostly posttraumatic cases. The decision to use a particular method of treatment was taken preoperatively according to age of the patient, type of pathology and available radiographic documentation. In all cases, the plain X-ray was used to assess the preoperative situation and if possible CT scan was used to get additional information. Not all patients could afford financing of CT scanning. In contained cavitary and segmental central defects, we tended to use the threaded cementless Bicon shell to compress a cancellous graft, filling the defect (fig. 2) [13]. This was not possible using the press-fit cup. For combined defects, there were three options: the defect could be managed by bulky graft augmented with screws alone (fig. 5) or a reinforcement ring (fig. 4) [14] or cancellous impacted grafts with metal mesh and screws followed by a cemented polyethylene cup (fig. 3). Another reported technique is fixation of the cementless threaded, press-fit titanium shell or custom-made implant in available bone stock in order to avoid bone graft [15, 16]. In such a case, the hip center may not be in the anatomical site (high hip center). This technique is acceptable, especially in young patients with good bone quality as shown in figure 4. A higher position of the hip center did not adversely affect the result provided it was not lateralized [17, 18]. Postoperative clinical score assessed on average at 37 months postoperatively did not differ, because both groups had good to excellent results (16.3 and 17.2 patients, respectively). A similar observation was reported by Clohisy and Harris [19]. The clinical results did not differ significantly between patients with no trauma and posttrauma and the previous surgical procedure group. In nearly all cases where a bone graft was used, we observed remodeling of the graft. As fresh frozen bone grafts are not available in our hospital, we used a combination of commercially available bone substitute with autogenous bone graft. In 2 cases, the graft was not incorporated. In the first case, the bulky graft was used to augment the superolateral aspect of the acetabulum. Gradual disappearance of the graft was observed (fig. 5). Obviously, the graft was not loaded, which is the reason for this phenomenon. In the second case, there was chronic low-grade infection preventing the incorporation of the graft. In 1 case of deep infection and debridement, prolonged antibiotic treatment was successful. In the second case with previous multiple operations, the infection could not be controlled. This patient necessitated having a long stem femoral implant that was not available and was referred to another center. Soft tissue laxity was the reason for 1 case of dislocation. Closed reduction and bed rest for 3 weeks in hip spica plaster cast was sufficient to stabilize the hip.

Conclusion

During the average follow-up period of 37 months, cemented and cementless reconstruction of acetabular bone defect with different types of reinforcement and bone graft resulted in good clinical and radiological outcome. There were no statistically significant differences with respect to final clinical outcome, rate of loosening and rate of complications between cemented and cementless implants. Because of the short follow-up, these results must be validated by a further prospective follow-up.
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